## Abstract

Twenty species of pelagic shrimps from deep waters from off southern Australia to the Antarctic Ocean are described. One species, *Gennadas kempi* STEBBING, is firstly recorded from the Antarctic Ocean. *Pasiphaea acutifrons* BATE and *P. scotiae* (STEBBING) are fully re-described. *P. longispina* LENZ and STRUNCK is synonymized with *P. scotiae*.

١

## 1. Introduction

The biological investigation of the Southern Ocean has had a long history, beginning with the voyage of H.M.S. CHALLENGER and continuing recently as the Biological Investigations of Marine Antarctic Systems and Stocks (BIOMASS). Several authors reported pelagic shrimps from the Southern Ocean (BATE, 1888; STEBBING, 1914a; LENZ and STRUNCK, 1914; BAGE, 1938; HALE, 1941; ZARENKOV, 1968), and their fauna in the Southern Ocean was reviewed by YALDWYN (1965) and OMORI (1974). However, still little is known of the pelagic shrimp fauna in the Southern Ocean between 150°E and 115°E. Only nine species were collected from this sector of the Southern Ocean by two expeditions: the voyage of H.M.S. CHALLENGER and the Australasian Antarctic Expedition (BATE, 1888; HANSEN, 1903; BAGE, 1938). This paper deals with the taxonomy of pelagic shrimps collected by R.V. HAKUHO MARU from south of Australia to the Antarctic Ocean. The results on their biomass and distribution have been reported by IWASAKI and NEMOTO (1987b).

# 2. Materials and Methods

Samplings were carried out on the R.V. HAKUHO MARU during the KH-83-4 cruise (BIOMASS SIBEX phase-1) conducted by the Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo in the Southern Ocean between 150°E and 115°E in December 1983 and January 1984.

Samples were collected by oblique hauls of three kinds of nets: an ORI-net (OMORI,



Fig. 1. Location of sampling stations in the R. V. HAKUHO MARU cruise, KH-83-4 (BIOMASS, SIBEX phase-1). STC, Subtropical Convergence; PF, Polar Front.

Sample	Station	Location	Date	Sampling layer (m)	Gear	
1	1	45-00.0S, 150-03.7E	14 Dec. 1983	0-1012	ORI-69	
2	1	45-06.8S, 150-09.9E	14 Dec. 1983	0-1050	IKMT**	
3	1′	44-58.7S, 150-00.4E	9 Jan. 1984	0- 97	IKMT	
4	2	52-05.9S, 149-41.0E	16 Dec. 1983	0- 748	<b>ORI-69</b>	
5	2	52-07.4S, 149-52.6E	16 Dec. 1983	0- 905	IKMT	
6	AC-I-S	56–26.6S, 150–15.4E	28 Dec. 1983	0- 830	IKMT**	
7	3	61–31.5S, 150–28.2E	19 Dec. 1983	0-844	ORI-69	
8	3	61–27.0S, 150–29.6E	19 Dec. 1983	0- 870	IKMT	
9	3B	61–17.9S, 150–01.3E	26 Dec. 1983	0- 873	ORI-69	
10	3B	61–25.6S, 150–00.1E	26 Dec. 1983	0- 860	IKMT	
*	3'	61–32.3S, 150–26.3E	13 Jan. 1984	0- 535	IKMT	
*	4	64–57.8S, 150–06.5E	22 Dec. 1983	0- 918	ORI-69	
11	4	64–56.4S, 150–10.4E	21 Dec. 1983	0- 670	IKMT	
*	PI-2	64–21.4S, 135–56.7E	16 Jan. 1984	0- 875	ORI-69	
12	PI-2	64–13.5S, 135–43.1E	17 Jan. 1984	0- 570	IKMT	
*	PI-3'	64–45.6S, 125–03.0E	18 Jan. 1984	0- 26	IKMT	
*	5	65–05.0S, 117–50.1E	20 Jan. 1984	0-1035	ORI-69	
13	5	65–01.6S, 118–12.2E	19 Jan. 1984	0- 780	IKMT	
14	5	65–03.0S, 118–03.9E	19 Jan. 1984	0- 890	IKMT**	
15	6	60–03.0S, 116–07.8E	21 Jan. 1984	0-1030	ORI-69	
16	6	60–03.3S, 116–04.0E	21–22 Jan. 1984	0- 798	ORI-69	
17	6	60–00.3S, 116–01.0E	22 Jan. 1984	0- 862	IKMT	
18	6	60–00.7S, 115–47.8E	22 Jan. 1984	0- 850	IKMT**	
19	7	45–03.2S, 115–01.1E	26 Jan. 1984	0-1058	ORI-69	
20	7	45–01.7S, 114–59.4E	26 Jan. 1984	0-1060	ORI-69	
21	7	44–48.4S, 114–57.3E	27 Jan. 1984	0- 980	IKMT	
22	7	44–55.5S, 114–58.9E	26 Jan. 1984	0- 934	IKMT**	
23	8	39–57.7S, 114–51.5E	28 Jan. 1984	0- 863	IKMT	

Table 1. List of sampling data.

\* Pelagic shrimps were not collected.

\*\* IKMT with EMPS.

1965) with a mesh opening of 0.69 mm, a 3.16m Isaacs-Kidd midwater trawl (IKMT) with a mesh opening of 5 mm, and the IKMT with a 1 m<sup>2</sup> Electronic Multiple Plantkon Sampler (EMPS) with five codend nets (PEARCY *et al.*, 1977). A total of 28 tows were carried out at eleven stations, and of these five did not sample pelagic shrimps (Fig. 1, Table 1). The oceanographic conditions were described by NAKAI *et al.* (1986).

Catches were immediately fixed in a 10% formalin/seawater solution buffered with sodium tetraborate. After pelagic shrimps were sorted, they were preserved in a 5% formalin/seawater solution. Carapace lengths (CL) from the orbital margin to the midposterior margin of the carapace were measured with an ocular micrometer or slide calipers. All specimens were deposited at the Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo.

Types and other reference specimens were loaned from the following institutions: the British Museum (Natural History), London (BMNH); the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge (MCZ); the South Australian Museum, Adelaide; and the Zoologisches Museum, Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, DDR (ZM).

## 3. Taxonomical Accounts

# Order DECAPODA Suborder DENDROBRANCHIATA Superfamily PENAEOIDEA Family ARISTEIDAE Genus Gennadas BATE, 1881

## (1) Gennadas gilchristi CALMAN, 1925

Restricted synonymy

Gennadas gilchristi CALMAN, 1925: pp. 6-7, pl. 1, figs. 3--4.—BURKENROAD, 1936: pp. 66 (in key), 76-77, 79-80, fig. 58.—BARNARD, 1950: pp. 629 (in key), 633, figs. 118g-

h.—KENSLEY, 1971b: pp. 273 (in key), 280–283, fig. 6.

Amalopenaeus elegans (not SMITH, 1882) STEBBING, 1917: p. 31. Amalopenaeus Gilchristi BALSS, 1927: pp. 261–262, figs. 16–17.

## Material examined

Sample 1, 3 females (CL 5.04–7.92 mm), 1 male (CL 6.60mm); Sample 2, 38 females (CL 4.80–8.76 mm), 12 males (CL 5.28–7.68 mm); Sample 20, 1 female (CL 6.48 mm); Sample 21, 2 females (CL 6.00, 6.36 mm), 4 males (CL 5.28–6.00 mm); Sample 22, 8 females (CL 6.60–8.40 mm), 7 males (CL 6.60–8.64 mm); Sample 23, 1 female (CL 6.96 mm), 7 males (CL 5.88–8.40 mm).

#### Description

Thelycum of female with anteriorly notched plate on eighth thoracic sternite. Seventh thoracic sternite with two anteriorly-directed, apically notched projections.

Petasma of male with external lobe divided into two lobules, inner longer than outer; median lobe divided into two slender lobules; internal lobe acute; accessory lobe well developed.

# Remarks

BURKENROAD (1936) pointed out that BALLS' figure of the impregnated thelycum of *Amalopenaeus gilchristi* BALSS (1927, fig. 17) was incomplete and somewhat misleading. Therefore, he reexamined and illustrated BALSS' (1927) specimen, and showed that the free margin of the lamella was cut into a pair of large teeth, which were not sharp; the median margins of these teeth appressed but not fused.

According to RICHARDSON and YALDWYN (1958), there are blue patches laterally on the abdomen. But we could not find out these in the preserved specimens. It seems that blue patches were discolored in the formalin/seawater solution.

#### Distribution

Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), off New Zealand (RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958; ROBERTSON *et al.*, 1978), south of Australia (present study), southern Indian Ocean (BALSS, 1925), off Cape Point (STEBBING, 1917; BALSS, 1927), off South Africa (STEBBING, 1917; CALMAN, 1925; BALSS, 1925; KENSLEY, 1968, 1971b, 1977, 1981).

This species was known from the Indian and Pacific Oceans from west of South Africa to east of New Zealand, and from 25°S to 45°S. This is the first record of the species from south of Australia.

## (2) Gennadas kempi STEBBING, 1914

Restricted synonymy

Gennadas kempi STEBBING, 1914a: pp. 283–284, pl. 27.—STEBBING, 1914b: p. 12.— CALMAN, 1925: pp. 4–5.—BURKENROAD, 1936: pp. 64 (in key), 68–71, figs. 52, 54.— BARNARD, 1950: pp. 628 (in key), 630–631, figs. 118a–d.—KENSLEY, 1971b: pp. 273 (in key), 285–286, fig. 8.

Amalopenaeus Kempi BALSS, 1927: pp. 260-261, figs. 14-15.

## Material examined

Sample 2, 7 females (CL 5.64–8.52 mm), 4 males (CL 5.16–6.00 mm), 1 damaged male; Sample 5, 5 females (CL 4.80–8.16 mm), 3 males (CL 4.68–6.60 mm); Sample 6, 3 females (CL 6.36–7.56 mm), 1 male (CL 6.12 mm); Sample 8, 2 males (CL 9.36, 12.00 mm); Sample 10, 4 females (CL 9.60–15.2 mm), 5 males (CL 10.56–13.6 mm); Sample 15, 2 females (CL 7.32, 10.96 mm); Sample 17, 6 females (CL 7.44–10.56 mm), 11 males (CL 6.96–11.76 mm); Sample 18, 8 females (CL 8.04–12.00 mm), 8 males (CL 7.56–11.16 mm); Sample 21, 6 females (CL 5.40–8.28 mm), 11 males (CL 4.92–8.16 mm); Sample 22, 6 females (CL 6.24–9.96 mm), 2 males (CL 6.00, 6.24 mm). *Description* 

Thelycum of female consisting of triangular plate on sixth thoracic sternite, rectangular plate on seventh thoracic sternite and hexagonal plate on eighth thoracic sternite.

Apex of external lobe of petasma in male acute; median and internal lobes undivided and truncated; median lobe broader than internal lobe; accessory lobe not elongate. *Remarks* 

BURKENROAD (1936) pointed out that Gennadas kempi STEBBING was distinguished from the closely related G. capensis CALMAN, by characters not affected by sexual dimorphism, the apex of the blade of the antennal scale in G. kempi being symmetrically placed in the center of the distal margin, rather than near the lateral edge as in G. capensis.

#### Distribution

Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a), off New Zealand (RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958), south of Australia (present study), off South Africa (STEBBING, 1914 a, b; CALMAN, 1925; BALSS, 1927; KENSLEY, 1968, 1971b, 1981), southern Indian Ocean (BALSS, 1927; HALE, 1941), Antarctic Ocean (present study).

This is the first record of G. kempi from south of Australia and the Antarctic Ocean.

#### 6

# Superfamily SERGESTOIDEA Family SERGESTIDAE Genus *Sergestes* H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1830

#### (3) Sergestes arcticus Kröyer, 1855

Restricted synonymy

Sergestes arcticus KRÖYER, 1859: pp. 240–243, 276–277, 285–286, pl. 3, figs. 7a–g; pl. 5, fig. 16.—SMITH, 1882: pp. 96–97.—SMITH, 1884: pp. 415–416, pl. 8, fig. 2.—SMITH, 1886: p. 696, pl. 20, figs. 1–2.—THIELE, 1905: pp. 462–463, figs. 34–37.—KEMP, 1910: pp. 30–35, pl. 3, figs. 13–19,—ILLIG, 1914: pp. 355–356.—SUND, 1920: pp. 6 (in key), 8–9, fig. 5.—HANSEN, 1922: pp. 40 (in key), 62–75, pl. 1, figs. 1–2; pl. 3, figs. 3–5; pl. 4, figs. 1–2.—ILLIG, 1927: pp. 306–310, figs. 53–65.—LEGENDRE, 1940: pp. 218–223, figs. 36–38.—BARNARD, 1950: pp. 639–641, figs. 120a–d.—HOLTHUIS, 1952: pp. 8–10, fig. 1.

Sergestes Rinkii KRÖYER, 1859: pp. 265–268, 280, 285–286, pl. 2, figs. 3a-g. (Mastigopus). Sergestes Meyeri METZGER, 1875: pp. 302–304, pl. 6, fig. 7.

Sergestes magnificus CHUN, 1888: pp. 33-34, pl. 4, figs. 4-5.

Sergestes (Sergestes) arcticus YALDWYN, 1957: pp. 8 (in key), 9-14, figs. 1-5.—KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 230 (in key), 232, fig. 7.

Material examined

Sample 1, 9 females (CL 4.08–6.96 mm), 5 males (CL 5.04–6.72 mm); Sample 2, 7 females (CL 6.48–14.40 mm), 3 males (CL 7.20–10.92 mm); Sample 4, 2 males (CL 9.84, 10.80 mm); Sample 5, 18 females (CL 8.40–13.92 mm), 8 males (CL 8.40–9.60 mm); Sample 6, 6 females (CL 9.12–15.0 mm); Sample 10, 1 female (CL 12.72 mm); Sample 19, 3 females (CL 4.80–6.00 mm), 2 males (CL 5.16, 5.28 mm); Sample 20, 3 females (CL 4.44–6.24 mm), 1 male (CL 6.60 mm); Sample 21, 16 females (CL 3.96–12.84 mm), 6 males (CL 4.20–10.80 mm); Sample 22, 8 females (CL 5.76–18.0 mm), 5 males (CL 9.96–11.16 mm); Sample 23, 3 females (CL 11.40–12.24 mm), 4 males (CL 9.84–11.64 mm). *Description* 

Rostrum small. Supraorbital and hepatic spines present. Third segment of antennular peduncle shorter than first. Third maxilliped reaching end of third pereopod. Two distal segments of fifth pereopod setose on ventral margin.

Lobus armatus of petasma in male curved and armed with row of hooks along inner margin; processus ventralis long and armed distally with several clustered spinelike hooks; lobus connectens triangular and covered with large hooks; lobus terminalis with several terminal hooks; processus uncifer terminally hooked.

In female, coxa of third pereopod with two uncifer teeth.

## Remarks

Sergestes arcticus KRÖYER is closely related to S. similis HANSEN, but is easily distinguished from that species by the following characters; the lobus terminalis of S. similis is longer than the processus ventralis, and the lobus armatus is not armed with hooks along the entire length of the median margin (MILNE, 1968).

This species is distributed in the Atlantic, Mediterranean, southern Indian, South Pacific and Antarctic Oceans. On the other hand, *S. similis* is restricted to the northern North Pacific Ocean, occurring from off Japan to the Bering Sea and the Gulf of California (OMORI et al., 1972). These species are also geographically isolated from one another.

HOLTHUIS (1977) examined RISSO'S publications and manuscripts which were important to work on carcinology under way in the Mediterranean, but some descriptions were not sufficiently complete or were partly erroneous. He identified some species by the name of *Nika Sinuolata* RISSO, *S. edwardii* RISSO and *S. Simiona* RISSO in RISSO'S work, which until now had remained an enigma to most carcinologists, as *S. arcticus*. *Distribution* 

Off Chile (HOLTHUIS, 1952; RETAMAL, 1981), off New Zealand (YALDWYN, 1957; ROBERTSON *et al.*, 1978), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a), south of Australia (HANSEN, 1903, present study), northeast of Amsterdam Island (ILLIG, 1927), off South Africa (HANSEN, 1925; ILLIG, 1927; BARNARD, 1950; KENSLEY, 1971a, 1981), west of Iceland and west of Norway (HANSEN, 1908), south of Iceland to north of Ireland (KEMP, 1910), north of British Isles to Canary Islands and east of Newfoundland (SUND, 1920), Bay of Biscay to off Nova Scotia (HANSEN, 1922), Mediterranean (HANSEN, 1922; ZARIQUIEY ALVAREZ, 1968), South Atlantic Ocean (ILLIG, 1914), Antarctic Ocean (present study).

An acanthosoma larva which seemed to be indistinguishable from S. arcticus has been reported from  $54^{\circ}02'S$ ,  $177^{\circ}00'W$  (GURNEY and LEBOUR, 1940). This is the first record of adults of S. arcticus from the Antarctic Ocean.

#### (4) Sergestes armatus Kröyer, 1855

Restricted synonymy

Sergestes armatus Kröyer, 1859: pp. 260–262, pl. 3, figs. 6a–e.—HANSEN, 1896: pp. 950 (in key), 966.—ILLIG, 1914: p. 370.—SUND, 1920: pp. 6 (in key), 23, figs. 39–41.— HANSEN, 1922: pp. 142 (in key), 174–182, pl. 10, figs. 6–7.—HANSEN, 1925: p. 26, —BARNARD, 1950: pp. 639 (in key), 643–644, figs. 120 m–p.

Sergestes incertus HANSEN, 1896: pp. 950 (in key), 962.

Sergestes (Sergestes) armatus KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 230 (in key), 232–234, fig. 8. Material examined

Sample 23, 1 female (CL 12.00mm), 1 male (CL 12.00mm).

Description

Rostrum elongate, terminating in strong point. Supraorbital and hepatic spines present. Supraorbital ridge absent. Third maxilliped much longer than percopods and dactylus consisting of four segments. Two distal segments of fifth percopod setose on ventral margin.

Lobus terminalis of petasma in male long with distal hooks; lobus inermis stout with small lobule on lower margin; lobus connectens globular with numerous hooks; lobus armatus consisting of small globular lobule with tiny hooks and elongate lobule with large hooks; processus ventralis slender with small process at base; processus uncifer pointed.

In female, coxa of third pereopod with single acute projection.

## Remarks

The petasmata of the present specimen and KENSLEY's (1971a) description closely resemble each other, in particular having the lobus inermis bilobed. But the lobus

inermis of Hansen's specimens is not bilobed (HANSEN, 1922, pl. 10, figs. 6g-i).

According to HANSEN (1903), BATE (1888) identified the specimens from the Pacific Ocean as Sergestes armatus KRÖYER by mistake.

## Distribution

Off Japan (HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985), off Hawaii (WALTERS, 1976), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), south of Australia (present study), off Mozambique to off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1968, 1971a, 1977, 1981), north of Crozet Islands (HANSEN, 1896), waters of Gulf Stream, Sargasso Sea and South Equatorial Current (ORTMANN, 1893), south of Newfoundland to off Morocco (SUND, 1920; HANSEN, 1922), off Bermuda (DONALDSON, 1975), Gulf of Mexico (HOPKINS *et al.*, 1981), South Atlantic Ocean (ILLIG, 1914).

This is the first record of S. armatus from south of Australia.

## (5) Sergestes disjunctus BURKENROAD, 1940

## Restricted synonymy

Sergestes (Sergestes) disjunctus BURKENROAD, 1940: pp. 38–39.—KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 230 (in key), 236, 238, fig. 11.

Material examined

Sample 23, 1 male (CL 16.4 mm).

## Description

Rostrum slightly elevated with short acute apex directed anteriorly. Supraorbital and hepatic spines well developed. Third maxilliped subequal to third pereopod. Two distal segments of fifth pereopod setose on both margins.

Lobus armatus of petasma in male straight with clusters of hooks on apex and base; processus ventralis pointed and flared with eight spiny papillae; lobus connectens stout with numerous small hooks on surface; lobus terminalis shorter than lobus inermis, with several terminal hooks; lobus inermis slender; processus uncifer terminally hooked.

## Remarks

According to KENSLEY's figure (1971a, fig. 11b), the lobus inermis is about 1.5 times as long as the lobus terminalis. In the present specimen the lobus inermis is about 2 times as long as the lobus terminalis.

The petasma of Sergestes disjunctus BURKENROAD is most closely related to S. henseni (ORTMANN) and S. seminudus HANSEN. S. disjunctus differs from them as follows; in S. henseni the lobus connectens is not stout and the lobus armatus bears some hooks at the middle of the inner margin (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973), while in S. seminudus the lobus armatus is armed with a row of hooks on the inner margin (HANSEN, 1919). Distribution

Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), off New Zealand (BURKENROAD, 1940; RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958), south of Australia (present study), off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1971a, 1981).

This is the first record of S. disjunctus from south of Australia.

(6) Sergestes pectinatus SUND, 1920 (Fig. 2) Restricted synonymy



Fig. 2. Sergestes pectinatus SUND, male, petasma.

Sergestes henseni (not ORTMANN, 1893) HANSEN, 1896: pp. 950 (in key), 959 (in part).— ILLIG, 1914: pp. 360–363 (in part), figs. 11, 21–22.

Sergestes pectinatus SUND, 1920: pp. 6 (in key), 24–25, figs. 42–43.—HANSEN, 1922: pp. 141 (in key), 142–148, pl. 8, fig. 4; pl. 9, fig. 1.—ILLIG, 1927: pp. 315–317, figs. 77–80.

Sergestes (Sergestes) pectinatus KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 230 (in key), 240–241, fig. 13. Material examined

Sample 23, 1 male (CL 6.24mm).

Description

Rostrum short, terminating in small spine. Supraorbital and hepatic spines present. Supraorbital ridge absent. Third maxilliped missing. Two distal segments of fifth pereopod setose on both margins.

Lobus armatus of petasma in male slightly curved, with apical hook and two proximal hooks; processus ventralis pointed, unarmed and slightly shorter than lobus terminalis; lobus terminalis elongate and curved with single apical and three proximal hooks; two proximal hooks having short peduncle; processus uncifer hooked; lobus inermis and lobus connectens lacking. *Remarks*  The petasma of *Sergestes pectinatus* SUND resembles KENSLEY's figure (1971a, fig. 13c) except for the following; the end of processus ventralis is rounded and lobus terminalis is armed with two proximal hooks in KENSLEY's figure. HANSEN (1922) described the lobus armatus as distally stout with two large apical hooks, a row of four hooks along the inner margin and the lobus terminalis is armed with a large apical hook, a row of five hooks on the inner margin.

#### Distribution

Off Japan (HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985), off Hawaii (WALTERS, 1976), off Baja California (HANAMURA, 1983), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), south of Australia (present study), Bengal Bay, south of Sri Lanka, Chagos Archipelago, east of Seychelles and between Amsterdam Island and Cocos Islands (ILLIG, 1927), off South Africa (ILLIG, 1927; KENSLEY, 1971a, 1977, 1981), south of Newfoundland to off Morocco (SUND, 1920), off Canary Islands (ILLIG, 1927; ABBES and CASANOVA, 1973), off Bermuda (DONALDSON, 1975), Gulf of MEXICO (HOPKINS *et al.*, 1981), off Sierra Leone (ILLIG, 1927).

S. pectinatus is distributed between  $43^{\circ}N$  and  $40^{\circ}S$  in the Pacific, Indian and Atlantic Oceans. This is the first record of the species from south of Australia.

## (7) Sergestes sargassi ORTMANN, 1893 (Fig. 3)

Restricted synonymy

Sergestes sargassi ORTMANN, 1893: pp. 31 (in key), 34, pl. 3, fig. 1.—HANSEN, 1922: pp. 141 (in key), 148–159. pl. 9, figs. 2–3.

Sergestes henseni (not ORTMANN, 1893) HANSEN, 1896: pp. 950 (in key), 959 (in part).— ILLIG, 1914: pp. 360-363 (in part), figs. 12, 14-15, 17.

Sergestes Henseni (not ORTMANN, 1893) SUND, 1920: pp. 6 (in key), 25–27, figs. 44–47. —ILLIG, 1927: pp. 317–319, figs. 81–84.

Sergestes (Sergestes) sargassi KENSLEY, 1968: pp. 304, 307, figs. 9c, 10d, 11c.—KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 230 (in key), 241, 245, fig. 14.

Material examined

Sample 23, 1 male (CL 9.00mm).

#### Description

Rostrum elevated with terminal spine. Supraorbital and hepatic spines small. Supraorbital ridge absent. Third maxilliped overreaching percopods. Two distal segments of fifth percopod setose on both margins.

Lobus armatus of petasma in male armed with two large terminal hooks and strong hook on inner margin; processus ventralis elongate with eight distal stellate spines and four single spinules; lobus terminalis slender with terminal hook; end of lobus inermis pointed; processus uncifer hooked; lobus connectens lacking. *Remarks* 

The petasma of the present specimen is very similar to HANSEN's description (1922, pl. 9, figs. 21–2n) except that the lobus inermis is terminally rounded in HANSEN's description. KENSLEY (1971a) described the lobus armatus as unarmed on the inner margin and the lobus terminalis with a hook on its base. According to ILLIG's figure (1927, fig. 83), the lobus armatus is armed with three hooks on its inner margin. The number of hooks on the lobus armatus and lobus terminalis in *Sergestes sargassi* 



Fig. 3. Sergestes sargassi ORTMANN, male, petasma.

ORTMANN seems to vary as in S. pectinatus SUND. Single spinules on the tip of the processus ventralis in the present specimen are larger than those previously described.

HANAMURA (1983) pointed out that S. sargassi and the closely related S. pestafer BURKENROAD could be distinguished from each other by the number of spines on the third subjoint of the dactylus of the third maxilliped and the number of stellate spines on the processus ventralis.

#### Distribution

Off Japan (HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985), off Hawaii (WALTERS, 1976), off Baja California (HANAMURA, 1983), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), south of Australia (present study), Bay of Bengal, off Sri Lanka, off Chagos Island and off Somalia (ILLIG, 1927), off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1968, 1971a, 1977, 1981), off Ireland (HARGREAVES, 1984), waters of the Gulf Stream, Sargasso Sea, North Equatorial Current and South Equatorial Current (ORTMANN, 1893), south of Newfoundland to off Morocco (SUND, 1920), off southern Portugal to Sargasso Sea (HANSEN, 1922), off Bermuda (DONALDSON, 1975), Gulf of Mexico (HOPKINS *et al.*, 1981), Mediterranean (HANSEN, 1922); ZARIQUIEY ALVAREZ, 1968).

This is the first record of S. sargassi from south of Australia.

#### Genus Sergia STIMPSON, 1860

#### (8) Sergia potens (BURKENROAD, 1940)

Restricted synonymy

Sergestes bisulcatus (not WOOD-MASON and ALCOCK, 1891) STEBBING, 1905: pp. 87–88, pl. 24A.

Sergestes grandis (not SUND, 1920) HANSEN, 1925: pp. 23.

Sergestes (Sergia) potens BURKENROAD, 1940: pp. 48-49.—YALDWYN, 1957: pp. 9 (in key), 15-22, figs. 11-19.—KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 245 (in key), 253, fig. 19.

Sergestes phorcus (not Faxon, 1893) BARNARD, 1950: pp. 639 (in key), 641-642, figs. 120e-g.

Material examined

Sample 21, 1 female (CL 19.5 mm), 1 male (CL 24.0 mm); Sample 22, 1 female (CL 24.0 mm), 4 males (CL 20.2–28.0 mm); Sample 23, 2 females (CL 18.8, 24.1 mm). *Description* 

Rostrum moderately long and deep with small denticle on upper margin. Supraorbital spine and ridge absent. Hepatic spine represented by blunt knob.

Lobus armatus of petasma in male long and curved with several hooks distally and number of hooks along inner margin; lobus accessorius at base covered with tiny hooks; processus ventralis acutely triangular; lobus connectens and lobus terminalis bilobed and armed with hooks; lobus inermis projecting; processus uncifer hooked.

In female, coxa of third percopod produced medially into two blunt lobes and posterior margin of fifth thoracic sternite convex and bulbous. *Remarks* 

YALDWYN (1957) suggested that the South African records of Sergestes bisulcatus WOOD-MASON sensu STEBBING (1905), Sergestes (Sergia) potens BURKENROAD, 1940, Sergestes phorcus FAXON sensu BARNARD (1950) and probably Sergestes grandis SUND sensu HANSEN (1925) all refer to the same species, and that species must be known as S. (Sergia) potens.

#### Distribution

Off New Zealand (YALDWYN, 1957; RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958; ROBERTSON et al., 1978), south of Australia (present study), off South Africa (HANSEN, 1925; BUR-KENROAD, 1940; BARNARD, 1950), off Mozambique (KENSLEY, 1971a), off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1971a, 1977, 1981).

This is the first record of this species from south of Australia.

#### (9) Sergia prehensilis (BATE, 1881)

#### Restricted synonymy

Sergestes prehensilis BATE, 1881: pp. 193–194.—BATE, 1888: pp. 385–387, pl. 71.—HAN-SEN, 1903: pp. 56–57, pl. 11, figs. 4a–b.—Gordon, 1935: pp. 314–318, figs. 8–9.— SAKAI and NAKANO, 1985: pp. 26–34, figs. 6–9.

Sergestes gloriosus STEBBING, 1905: pp. 84–87, pls. 22–23.—HANSEN, 1925: pp. 24–26.— BARNARD, 1950: pp. 639 (in key), 642–643, figs. 120h–j.

Sergestes (Sergia) prehensilis KENSLEY, 1968: pp. 308-309.—KENSLEY, 1971a: pp. 245 (in key), 253-254, 256, fig. 20.

Material examined

Sample 1, 1 female (CL 8.04 mm).

Description

Rostrum lanceolate with acute apex. Supraorbital spine and ridge absent. Hepatic spine represented by blunt knob. Row of twelve lens-bearing photophores on branchio-stegite and parallel with lower border of carapace. Row of seven or eight lens-bearing photophores on antennal scale.

In female, coxa of third pereopod bearing single short and acute projection. *Remarks* 

Although BARNARD (1950) described a coxa of the third pereopod in female with two sharp curved teeth, it bears a single projection in this specimen and KENSLEY's (1971a) description.

HANSEN (1922, pp. 35, 38) pointed out that Sergia prehensilis (BATE) of previous Japanese workers (e.g., NAKAZAWA and TERAO, 1915), was in reality S. lucens (HANSEN). Distribution

Off Japan (BATE, 1881, 1888; HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985; SAKAI and NAKANO, 1985), north of Hawaii (KING and IVERSEN, 1962), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), south of Australia (present study), off Mozambique (KENSLEY, 1971a), off South Africa (HANSEN, 1925; KENSLEY, 1968, 1969, 1971a, 1977, 1981).

This is the first record of S. prehensilis from south of Australia.

Genus Petalidium BATE, 1881

(10) Petalidium foliaceum BATE, 1881 (Fig. 4)

Restricted synonymy

Petalidium foliaceum Bate, 1881: pp. 194–195.—Bate, 1888: pp. 349–350, pl. 60.—
HANSEN, 1903: pp. 54–56, pl. 11, figs. 1a–g.—Illig, 1914: pp. 372–373, figs. 31–34.—Stebbing, 1914a: pp. 284–285, pl. 28.—Hale, 1941: pp. 261–262, figs. 1–2.—
Kensley, 1971a: pp. 228–230, fig. 6.

Material examined

Sample 2, 5 females (CL 7.32–13.20 mm), 8 males (CL 6.00–8.88 mm); Sample 5, 8 females (CL 5.76–16.8mm), 4 males (CL 6.60–8.64 mm), 5 sex unknown (CL 5.16–7.92 mm); Sample 7, 1 sex unknown (CL 12.00 mm); Sample 8, 3 females (CL 11.40–16.8 mm), 2 males (CL 9.60, 11.76 mm); Sample 10, 1 female (CL 11.76 mm), 1 male (CL 11.76 mm); Sample 15, 1 male (CL 12.24 mm); Sample 17, 1 male (CL 9.84 mm); Sample 18, 7 females (CL 10.20–16.2mm), 3 males (CL 10.56–13.0 mm); Sample 20, 1 female (CL 6.24 mm); Sample 21, 7 females (CL 6.60–13.20 mm), 4 males (CL 8.28–11.76 mm), 1 sex unknown (CL 7.20 mm); Sample 22, 1 female (CL 6.00 mm); Sample 23, 5 females (CL 6.12–6.96 mm), 1 male (CL 6.00 mm), 2 sex unknown (carapace missing).

## Description

Rostrum short with forwardly directed tooth, sometimes with posterior tooth. Supraorbital spine and ridge absent. Hepatic spine minute. Third maxilliped and pereopods missing from all specimens.

14



Fig. 4. Petalidium foliaceum BATE, female, thelycum.

Processus ventralis slender and distally divided into two rami, short appically hooked ramus and long ramus, armed with hooks; lobus terminalis consisting of long slender ramus with several apical hooks and short slender ramus with single terminal hook; lobus inermis blunt and unarmed; lobus armatus consisting of upper blunt lobe with several hooks and lower blunt lobe with two apical hooks; lobus connectens knoblike between lobus inermis and lobus armatus; processus uncifer with oblique tooth.

In adult female, coxa of third percopod produced into triangular lamella on inner margin, apex roundish; sixth thoracic sternite convex and slightly bilobed. Remarks

The petasma of the present species is in close agreement with KENSLEY's figure (1971a, fig. 6e) except for the number of hooks on the lobus armatus. ILLIG (1914) noted that the supraorbital spine was present, but it is absent in the present specimens, and HANSEN'S (1903) and KENSLEY'S (1971a) descriptions.

## Distribution

South of Australia (BATE, 1888; present study), east of Heard Island (HALE, 1941), near Marion Island (BATE, 1888), off South Africa (ILLIG, 1914; KENSLEY, 1971a, 1981); south of Gough Island (STEBBING, 1914a), Antarctic Ocean between 150°E and 115°E (present study), Indian sector of the Antarctic Ocean (ILLIG, 1914; HALE, 1941).

> Suborder PLEOCYEMATA Infraorder CARIDEA Superfamily ATYOIDEA Family NEMATOCARCINIDAE Genus Nematocarcinus A. MILNE EDWARDS, 1881



Fig. 5. Nematocarcinus sp., larva, lateral view.

## (11) Nematocarcinus sp. (Fig. 5)

#### Material examined

Sample 14, 4 larvae (CL 7.92–12.36 mm); Sample 19, 3 larvae (CL 2.28–2.40 mm); Sample 20, 2 larvae (CL 2.42, 2.64 mm).

#### Description

Rostrum long, slender and denticulate on dorsal and ventral margins. Branchiostegal and pterygostomian spines present. Third maxilliped and pereopods with long exopods. No pereopods chelate. Fifth pereopod short. Pleura of second abdominal somite overlapping first and third. Third abdominal somite compressed into dorsal hump. Telson sulcate with eleven pairs of lateral spines and twelve terminal spines disposed upon rounded projection. Second terminal spines from outside long and stout. *Remarks* 

Nematocarcinus sp. was identified by Dr. K. HAYASHI of the Shimonoseki University of Fisheries, Japan. The species seems to be *N. lanceopus* BATE or *N. longirostris* BATE both of which have spines on the dorsal and ventral margins of the rostrum and are known from subantarctic and antarctic waters (BATE, 1888; ZARENKOV, 1968; LEDOYER, 1979).

## Family OPLOPHORIDAE Genus *Oplophorus* H. MILNE EDWARDS, 1837

#### (12) Oplophorus novaezeelandiae DE MAN, 1931

*Restricted synonymy* 

Hoplophorus novae-zeelandiae DE MAN, 1931: pp. 369-378, figs. 1-20.

Oplophorus novaezeelandiae CROSNIER and FOREST, 1968: pp. 1126–1129, fig. 2.—HAYASHI and MIYAKE, 1969: pp. 72–73, fig. 5.—CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973: pp. 24 (in key), 26–27, fig. 5.

#### Material examined

Sample 3, 1 female (CL 11.16 mm); Sample 21, 1 female (CL 12.00 mm); Sample 22, 3 females (CL 11.5-18.0 mm), 1 male (CL 17.5 mm). Description

Rostrum slender, longer, upper margin with 13 or 14 teeth and lower margin with seven to nine teeth. Antennal spine present. Pterygostomian angle of carapace rectangular. No spine on posterolateral angle of carapace. No barb on inner margin of antennal scale, outer margin of antennal scale not spinose. Third to fifth abdominal somites terminating in long spine. Spine on third somite well-developed, reaching beyond hind margin of fifth somite, the spine of two specimens (CL 11.5, 12.00 mm) reaching beyond hind margin of sixth somite. Telson with three pairs of lateral spines and terminating in a point.

#### Remarks

The length of the rostrum could not be measured exactly, for most specimens lacked the tip of the rostrum. However, the length of the rostrum in young (CL 12.00 mm) seems to be more than twice the length of the carapace, while that of adults (CL 14.2-18.0 mm) seems to be less than twice the length of the carapace. Distribution

Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), off New Zealand (RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958; ROBERTSON et al., 1978), off Chile (RETAMAL, 1981), south of Australia (present study), west of Australia (HAYASHI and MIYAKE, 1969), off Angola (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1968, 1973), west of South Africa (BOSCHMA, 1949).

This is the first record of this species from south of Australia.

## Genus Acanthephyra A. MILNE EDWARDS, 1881

#### (13) Acanthephyra pelagica (RISSO, 1816)

#### Restricted synonymy

Ephyra Haeckelii von MARTENS, 1868: pp. 52-54, pl. 1, fig. 7.

- Acanthephyra Agassizzi SMITH, 1884: pp. 372-376 (in part), pl. 8, fig. 1.
- Acanthephyra sica BATE, 1888: pp. 739–744, pl. 125, fig. 1.—BURUKOVSKY and ROMENSKY, 1982: pp. 1799–1800, figs. 7–17.
- Acanthephyra purpurea Lo BIANCO, 1903: pp. 185–186.—KEMP, 1906: pp. 4–16 (in part), fig. 2, no. 1-3.—KEMP, 1907: pp. 206-212.—KEMP, 1910: pp. 56-58 (in part).— PESTA, 1913: pp. 70-71.—PESTA, 1918: pp. 70-73, fig. 22.

Acanthephyra rectirostris Lo BIANCO, 1903: p. 186.—THIELE, 1905: p. 467.

- Acanthephyra haeckelii THIELE, 1905: pp. 466-467.-CHACE, 1940: pp. 140-143, figs. 18-20.—BARNARD, 1950: pp. 666 (in key), 668.
- Acanthephyra purpurea var. multispina COUTIÈRE, 1905: pp. 10-15.-COUTIÈRE, 1938a: pp. 198-201.—Szüts, 1915: pp. 433-436.
- Acanthephyra parva multidens Coutière, 1905: pp. 17–18, fig. 5. no. 1–2.—Coutière, 1938a: p. 202, pl. 7, fig. 5, no. 1-2.
- Acanthephyra multispina Coutière, 1906: pp. 18-20, figs. 7C-D.—Stephensen, 1923: pp. 44-48, 50-54.—Stephensen, 1935: p. 30.—Coutière, 1938b: pp. 222-223, pl. 6, figs. 7C-D.—LEGENDRE, 1940: pp. 235-240, figs. 42-43.

Acanthephyra haeckeli KEMP, 1939: pp. 572, 574-575, 578.

Acanthephyra pelagica SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956: pp. 7–12, figs. 3–7.—CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973: pp. 27 (in key), 29–31.—BOSCHI, 1973: p. 236, figs. 1a, 2. Material examined

Sample 1, 1 female (CL 7.80 mm); Sample 2, 8 females (CL 7.20–22.9 mm), 1 male (CL 13.40 mm); Sample 5, 4 female (CL 6.00–17.3 mm), 2 males (CL 16.5, 18.2 mm); Sample 6, 1 female (CL 13.0 mm); Sample 17, 1 female (CL 11.16 mm); Sample 19, 1 female (CL 8.64 mm); Sample 21, 10 females (CL 7.08–9.12 mm); Sample 22, 1 female (CL 8.64 mm), 1 male (CL 18.2 mm); Sample 23, 1 postlarva (CL 3.60 mm). *Description* 

Rostrum long and slender. Carapace not dorsally carinate posteriorly. Branchiostegal spine supported by a short carina. Third to sixth abdominal somites terminating in spine. Telson with six to eleven pairs of lateral spines. *Remarks* 

Acanthephyra pelagica (RISSO) is closely allied to A. purpurea A. MILNE EDWARDS, which can be distinguished from A. pelagica in having four pairs of lateral spines on the telson and no dorsal spine on the fourth abdominal somite (KEMP, 1939). The sexual maturity size of A. purpurea is smaller than A. pelagica (SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956).

According to CHACE (personal communication), BATE's (1888) description of A. sica BATE was based on material of more than one species. For that reason the records of A. sica from the North Pacific are unreliable.

Distribution

Banda Sea, Coral Sea and off Kermadec Islands (BATE, 1888), off New Zealand (BATE, 1888; RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958; ROBERTSON *et al.*, 1978), south of Australia (BATE, 1888; HALE, 1941; present study), off Chile (RETAMAL, 1981), between Amsterdam Island and Australia (HALE, 1941), west of Kerguelen (KEMP, 1939), off South Africa (BARNARD, 1950; KENSLEY, 1968, 1981), Davis Strait and off Iceland (HANSEN, 1908), north of British Isles and off Tanger to east of Newfoundland (SIVERT-SEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956), Bay of Biscay and south of Azores (COUTIÈRE, 1905, 1906, 1938a, b), off Sahara (ABBES and CASANOVA, 1973), off Senegal (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973), off Bermuda (CHACE, 1940), Mediterranean (STEPHENSEN, 1923; HOLTHUIS and GOTTLIEB, 1958), off Argentina (BOSCHI, 1973; TAKEDA and HATANAKA, 1984), off Cape Horn (KEMP, 1939), off Crozet (LEDOYER, 1979), Antarctic Ocean (present study), Bellingshausen Sea (KEMP, 1939).

A. pelagica is widely distributed in the oceans. The present study extended its recorded southern limit to  $60^{\circ}$ S.

#### (14) Acanthephyra quadrispinosa KEMP, 1939

Restricted synonymy

Acanthephyra batei (not FAXON, 1895) STEBBING, 1905: pp. 107-109, pl. 24B.

- Acanthephyra quadrispinosa KEMP, 1939: pp. 571–572, 567.—BARNARD, 1950: pp. 666 (in key), 668–669, fig. 124 g.—AIZAWA, 1974: pp. 31–32, fig. 22.
- Not Acanthephyra quadrispinosa BUTLER, 1971: p. 1616 (=Systellaspis cristata (FAXON, 1893)).

#### Material examined

Sample 1, 1 female (CL 11.6 mm), 1 male (CL 11.0 mm); Sample 2, 3 females (CL 6.60–12.24 mm), 2 males (CL 9.84, 11.52 mm); Sample 21, 1 female (CL 15.3 mm); Sample 22, 3 females (CL 7.80–15.0 mm), 1 male (CL 14.8 mm); Sample 23, 1 female (CL 6.00 mm).

## Description

Rostrum long and slender. Carapace not dorsally carinate posteriorly. Branchiostegal spine supported by a short carina. Third to sixth abdominal somites terminating in spine, spine on fourth somite slightly smaller than that of fifth somite. Telson with four pairs of lateral spines.

#### Remarks

Acanthephyra quadrispinosa KEMP closely resembles A. pelagica (RISSO) and A. purpurea A. MILNE EDWARDS. The lateral spines of the telson differ in number between A. quadrispinosa and A. pelagica; four pairs of lateral spines in the former and six to eleven pairs in the later. A dorsal spine on the fourth abdominal somite is present in A. quadrispinosa, while absent in A. purpurea.

## Distribution

Off Japan (AIZAWA, 1974; HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985), Aleutian Current Zone (KING and IVERSEN, 1962), off Oregon (KRYGIER and PEARCY, 1981), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), off New Zealand (RICHARDSON and YALDWYN, 1958; ROBERTSON *et al.*, 1978), south of Australia (present study), southeast of Amsterdam Island (HALE, 1941), off South Africa (BARNARD, 1950; KENSLEY, 1968, 1977, 1981).

KEMP (1939) reported that A. quadrispinosa was distributed in the South Atlantic from  $32^{\circ}$ S to  $40^{\circ}$ S, Indo-Pacific from the east African coast to  $163^{\circ}$ W, and from  $25^{\circ}$ N to  $42^{\circ}$ S. This is the first record of A. quadrispinosa from south of Australia.

#### Genus Notostomus A. MILNE EDWARDS, 1881

## (15) Notostomus auriculatus BARNARD, 1950

Restricted synonymy

Notostomus westergreni (not FAXON, 1893) STEBBING, 1905: pp. 110-111.—KENSLEY, 1968: p. 310 (in part).

Notostomus longirostris (not BATE, 1888) BALSS, 1925: p. 268.—HOLTHUIS, 1951: pp. 29-31.

Notostomus auriculatus BARNARD, 1950: p. 670, figs. 124h-i.—CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973: pp. 49 (in key), 52-56, 59-63, figs. 14, 16c.

Notostomus sp. HOLTHUIS and SIVERTSEN, 1967: pp. 32–36, figs. 4–5. Material examined

Sample 2, 1 female (CL 13.80 mm), 1 juvenile (CL 5.40 mm); Sample 21, 1 juvenile (CL 5.64 mm).

## Description

Rostrum reaching beyond antennal scale. Dorsal carina of carapace strongly arched and serrated. Upper and lower lateral rostral carinae present, upper lateral rostral carina extending posteriorly beyond hind margin of orbit. Five lateral carinae on posterior half of carapace, first to sixth abdominal somites dorsally keeled, third to sixth abdominal somites terminating in point. Telson dorsally sulcate, armed with three pairs of lateral spines, tip of telson broken.

## Remarks

CROSNIER and FOREST (1973) reexamined HOLTHUIS and SIVERTSEN'S (1967), HOLTHUIS' (1951) and BALSS' (1925) samples. They identified them as *Notostomus auriculatus* BARNARD. The present materials agree with the description of CROSNIER and FOREST (1973).

## Distribution

South of Australia (present study), north of Amsterdam Island (BALSS, 1925), off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1968, 1981), south of Canary Islands and off Senegal (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973), off Nigeria (HOLTHUIS, 1951), off Gabon to off Angola (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1968), off Tristan da Cunha (HOLTHUIS and SIVERTSEN, 1967).

This is the first record of N. auriculatus from southern Australian waters.

#### Genus Hymenodora SARS, 1877

#### (16) Hymenodora gracilis SMITH, 1886

Restricted synonymy

*Hymenodora gracilis* SMITH, 1886: pp. 680–681, pl. 12, fig. 6.—STEPHENSEN, 1923: pp. 60–61.—CHACE, 1940: pp. 175–179, figs. 46–49.—CHACE, 1947: pp. 32–35.— SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956: pp. 16–17, figs. 12–13.—ZARIQUIEY ALVAREZ, 1968: p. 91, figs. 34c–d.—CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973: pp. 82 (in key), 83–84, fig. 25a.

*Hymenodora glacialis* (not Buchholz, 1874), Кемр, 1910: pp. 72–75 (in part).—Lenz and Strunck, 1914: pp. 331–334, fig. 5.—Balss, 1925: pp. 270–271 (in part).— Calman, 1925: p. 15.—Barnard, 1950: p. 665, fig. 124b.—Holthuis, 1951: p. 32 (in part).—Kensley, 1968: p. 309.

#### Material examined

Sample 17, 1 female (CL 7.68 mm), 1 sex unknown (CL 6.96 mm).

#### Description

Integument thin, membranous. Rostrum short, not extending beyond eyes, armed with two to four spines on dorsal margin. No crescent-shaped sulcus in hepatic region on carapace. Second maxilliped with podobranch on epipod. Telson dorsally sulcate, end of telson broken.

#### Remarks

The number of rostral spines varies from one to six (BARNARD, 1950; KENSLEY, 1968; ZARIQUIEY ALVAREZ, 1968; CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973).

Hymenodora gracilis SMITH and H. glacialis (BUCHHOLZ) are very closely related with each other. H. glacialis is distinguished from H. gracilis in having a crescent-shaped sulcus in the hepatic region of the carapace and no podobranch on the epipod of the second maxilliped (STEPHENSEN, 1923; SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956). Distribution

Off Japan (KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1965), off Iriomote Shima (HANAMURA, 1979), off Oregon (KRYGIER and PEARCY, 1981), off Baja California (HANAMURA, 1983), off Chile (RETAMAL, 1981), Arabian Sea (CALMAN, 1939), off Somalia (BALSS, 1925), off

20

South Africa (KENSLEY, 1968, 1981), off Hebrides to southeast of Newfoundland and to west of Canary Islands (SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956), Bay of Biscay and off coast of the Peninsular (ZARIQUIEY ALVARTZ, 1968), off Liberia to off Ghana (BALSS, 1927), off east coast of United States (SMITH, 1886), off Bermuda and off Bahamas (CHACE, 1947), off Pointe-Noire (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973), off Angola (BALSS, 1925), Antarctic Ocean (present study), off Enderby Land (HALE, 1941).

H. gracilis is found in the Pacific, Indian, Atlantic and Antarctic Oceans.

#### Genus Systellaspis BATE, 1888

(17) Systellaspis debilis (A. MILNE EDWARDS, 1881)

Restricted synonymy

Acanthephyra debilis A. MILNE EDWARDS, 1881: pp. 13–14.—KEMP, 1906: pp. 16–20, pl. 2, figs. 4–7.—KEMP, 1907: pp. 212, 214.—LENZ and STRUNCK, 1914: p. 327.—WILLIAMSON, 1915: pp. 358–359, figs. 35–38 (larvae).—CALMAN, 1925: p. 13.

*Miersia gracilis* SMITH, 1882: pp. 70–73. pl. 11, figs. 4–4d.

Acanthephyra gracilis SMITH, 1886: pp. 672-673.—HANSEN, 1908: pp. 76-77.

Systellaspis debilis Coutière, 1905: pp. 5–8, fig. 2.—Coutière, 1906: pp. 1–12, figs. 1– 4.—DE MAN, 1920: pp. 43, 50–51.—Stephensen, 1923: pp. 54–56, fig. 16.—Balss, 1925: pp. 242–243.—Coutière, 1938a: pp. 195–197, pl. 6, figs. 1–4; pl. 7, fig. 2.— Coutière, 1938b: pp. 212–218, pl. 6, figs. 1–4.—Chace, 1940: pp. 181–184, figs. 51–53.—Legendre, 1940: pp. 241–244, figs. 45–46.—Chace, 1947: pp. 35–39.— Barnard, 1950: pp. 663–665, fig. 124a.—Sivertsen and Holthuis, 1956: pp. 17– 19, fig. 14.—Figueira, 1957: pp. 31–34, fig. 2.—Zariquiey Alvarez, 1968: p. 93, fig. 34a.—Hayashi and Miyake, 1969: pp. 67–68.—Crosnier and Forest, 1973: pp. 87–92, figs. 26b, 27b.—Aizawa, 1974: p. 35.—Zhong and Lan, 1983: p. 185, fig. 10.

Systellaspis Bouvieri Coutière, 1905: pp. 8-10, fig. 3.—Coutière, 1938a: pp. 197-198, pl. 7, fig. 3.

Acanthephyra (Systellaspis) debilis KEMP, 1910: pp. 59–66, pl. 6, figs. 1–15. Systellaspis debilis var. indica DE MAN, 1920: pp. 43, 51–53, pl. 6, figs. 11–11f. Material examined

Sample 2, 3 females (CL 6.24–7.80 mm); Sample 3, 1 ovigerous female (CL 11.76 mm); Sample 21, 1 female (CL 5.64 mm); Sample 22, 1 female (CL 6.60 mm); Sample 23, 4 females (CL 4.56–13.5 mm) including 1 ovigerous, 1 male (CL 13.0 mm). *Description* 

Rostrum slender and longer than carapace. Branchiostegal spine not supported by a definite carina. Third abdominal somite and posterior portion of fourth somite dorsally carinate. Third somite terminating in spine, fourth and fifth somites terminating in small point, hind margins of those denticulate. A notch in lower posterior margin of pleuron of fifth somite. Telson with four or five pairs of dorsal spines, apically with five pairs of spines, first pair much stouter than others, apex of telson pointed. Photophores present on carapace, abdomen, eye-stalks and bases of appendages. *Remarks* 

BARNARD (1950) reported that the telson was armed with three to five pairs of

dorsal spines. FIGUEIRA (1957) reported an asymmetrical arrangement of dorsal spines on the telson; the number of spines on the right side differed from the number on the left side in his three specimens. In our eleven specimens, two of them have four pairs of the spines, seven of them have five pairs of the spines, one of them is armed with four spines on the right side and five on the left side and the last is unknown because of the missing telson.

Young specimens differ from adults in the comparative length of the rostrum, that of the fifth and sixth abdominal somites, the size of abdominal median spines, and the number of serration of the fourth and fifth somites (BARNARD, 1950; HAYASHI and MIYAKE, 1969). In addition to these features, the length of the sixth somite is more than twice its width in the young (below 9 mm in the carapace length), while in the adults it is less than twice as long as wide. *Distribution* 

Off Japan (AIZAWA, 1974: HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985), South China Sea (ZHONG and LAN, 1983), off Kauai Island, Hawaii (RATHBUN, 1906), off Oregon (KRYGIER and PEARCY, 1981), Halmaheira Sea (DE MAN, 1920), Tasman Sea (GRIFFITHS and BRANDT, 1983a, b), off New Zealand (ROBERTSON *et al.*, 1978), south of Australia (present study), west of Sumatra to south of Cocos Islands (HAYASHI and MIYAKE, 1969), off Tanzania (CALMAN, 1939), off Mozambique to off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1968, 1977, 1981), south of Iceland (HANSEN, 1908), north of Ireland to northeast of Newfoundland and to Bay of Biscay (SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956), off Madeira (FIGUEIRA, 1957), east coast of United States (SMITH, 1882), off Bermuda (CHACE, 1940), Gulf of Mexico (HOPKINS *et al.*, 1981), off West Indies (BATE, 1888), Gulf of Guinea (BALSS, 1925), off Gabon to off Angola (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1969, 1973), Indian sector of the Antarctic Ocean (BALSS, 1925).

This species is widely distributed in all oceans between  $63^{\circ}N$  and  $58^{\circ}S$ . This is the first record of the species from south of Australia.

Superfamily PASIPHAEOIDEA Family PASIPHAEIDAE Genus *Pasiphaea* SAVIGNY, 1816

#### (18) Pasiphaea acutifrons BATE, 1888 (Figs. 6-8)

Restricted synonymy

Pasiphaea acutifrons Вате, 1888: pp. 871–872, pl. 141, fig. 3.—Holthuis, 1952: pp. 10– 14, fig. 2.—Retamal, 1973: pp. 7–8, figs. 1–3.—Boschi et al., 1981: pp. 240–241,

fig. 3. — TAKEDA and HATANAKA, 1984: pp. 8–9.

Pasiphaea forceps A. MILNE EDWARDS, 1891: pp. 51-53, pl. 6, fig. 2.

Pasiphaea (Phye) acutifrons ZARENKOV, 1968: p. 156, fig. 1.

Not Pasiphaea acutifrons? FAXON, 1895: pp. 175–176 (= P. faxoni RATHBUN, 1902).

Not *Pasiphaea acutifrons* DOFLEIN and BALSS, 1912: p. 26, fig. 1 (=P. dofleini SCHMITT, 1932).

Material examined

Sample 5, 2 females (CL 10.44, 19.2 mm); Sample 8, 1 female (CL 21.2 mm); Sample 9, 1 female (CL 28.0 mm); Sample 18, 1 female (CL 29.5 mm); Sample 22,



Fig. 6. Pasiphaea acutifrons BATE, female; a, carapace, lateral view; b, mandible; c, first maxilla; d, second maxilla; e, endopod of first pleopod; f, tip of telson, dorsal view.

1 female (CL 12.4 mm).

CHALLENGER Expedition, 1873–1876. Stn. 311, 52°45'30''S, 73°46'W, 1 female, CL 15.5mm (identified as *P. acutifrons* by BATE, 1888, lectotype, BMNH). *Description* 

Rostrum strong, pointed and overreaching frontal margin. Carapace dorsally carinate, carina ending slightly before posterior margin of carapace. Branchiostegal spine present. Second and third joints of antennular peduncle subequal and cylindrical.

Antennal scale reaching far beyond distal extremity of antennular peduncle, armed on outer margin with strong tooth. Mandible with eleven-toothed incisor process, palp



Fig. 7. Pasiphaea acutifrons BATE, female; a, first maxilliped; b, second maxilliped; c, third maxilliped; d, first pereopod; e, second pereopod; f, third pereopod; g, fourth pereopod; h, fifth pereopod.

absent. First maxilla with rounded proximal endite, strongly toothed distal endite and simple endopod armed with two setae. Second maxilla with simple endopod and large scaphognathite. First maxilliped reduced to large elongate lamina, articulated distally. Second maxilliped simple, consisting of six segments without epipod or exopod. Third maxilliped reaching slightly before distal margin of antennal scale, with three-segmented endopod, exopod well developed.

All percopods with well developed exopods, but no epipod. First percopod extending with fingers beyond antennal scale, fingers slender, cutting edges toothed, tips curved and capable of crossing one another, merus armed with two to seven spines along entire posterior margin. Second pereopod slightly longer than first pereopod, fingers slender, cutting edges toothed, tips curved and capable of crossing one another, merus with 10–19 spines along entire ventral margin. Third pereopod slender, extending beyond merus of second pereopod. Fourth pereopod shorter than third and fifth pereopods. Fifth pereopod extending beyond mid-length of merus of second pereopod, tips of setae on dactylus slightly curved.

First pleopod of female with broad ovate endopod, small lobe bearing some hooks. Telson slightly shorter than sixth abdominal somite, dorsally sulcate and deeply incised in tip with seven to nine spines on one side. *Remarks* 

The rostrum overreaches the frontal margin of carapace but does not reach the posterior margin of the cornea in most cases. However, the rostrum of the largest specimen (CL 29.5 mm) overreaches the posterior margin of the cornea. The rostra in BATE's (1888) and RETAMAL's (1973) descriptions do not overreach the frontal margin of the carapace, while in this material they do. In HOLTHUIS' (1952, fig. 2) and RETAMAL's (1973, fig. 1) figures, the rostra did not overreach the anterior margin of the carapace. In the Smithsonian collection there is a pair of specimens that are assumed to be *Pasiphaea acutifrons* BATE from ALBATROSS station 2784: 48°41′00′′S, 74°24′00′′W; near the northern limit of Magallanes Province, Chile. The male has a carapace length of 24.2 mm, the female 17.3 mm. In neither specimen does the rostrum overreach the frontal margin, but it appears to be somewhat deformed in the larger male (CHACE, personal communication).

The number of posterior spines of the meri of the first and second percopods was variable. It ranged from two to eight in the first percopod, ranged from seven to 32 in the second according to the previous work.

The smallest specimen (CL 10.44 mm) is not dorsally carinate on the first and second abdominal somites.

The tip of the telson is armed with 27 spines in RETAMAL's figure (1973, figs. 2–3), while it bears 15–17 spines in our material. The posterior cleft in the telson in the Smithsonian collection is deeper and narrower than it is in our illustration and it seems to be armed with eleven spines on each side (CHACE, personal communication).

BATE (1888) recorded *P. acutifrons*, with some doubt, from off Japan. Because this species is almost always found in the southern oceans, the specimen should be reexamined in detail. Unfortunately, the specimen from CHALLENGER station 236 (off Japan) has been missing for many years according to the British Museum (Natural History).

*P. acutifrons* is closely related to *P. faxoni* RATHBUN and *P. dofleini* SCHMITT. RATHBUN (1904) described the differences between *P. acutifrons* and *P. faxoni*. They are distinguishable from each other by the shape of telson. The telson in the former is deeply incised, while that in the latter it is truncated. *P. acutifrons* is also allied to *P. rathbunae* (STEBBING). We reexamined fragments of the type of *P. rathbunae* (BMNH), in the collection of the Scottish National Antarctic Expedition, 1902–1904 (STEBBING, 1914a). These two species are easy to distinguish from each other by a few minute details; the first maxilliped is incompletely articulated distally and the merus of the first pereopod is armed with nine spines in *P. rathbunae*. We reexamined the specimens



Fig. 8. Map showing distribution of Pasiphaea acutifrons BATE. Circles, previous records (refer to the text); squares, present record; STC, Subtropical Convergence; PF, Polar Front.

from ALBATROSS station 3403 (MCZ) that FAXON (1895) tentatively called *P. acutifrons*? and reidentified them as *P. faxoni*.

Distribution

Off Peru (RETAMAL, 1981); Seno Reloncavi (HOLTHUIS, 1952), off Magallanes (BATE, 1888; RETAMAL, 1973, 1981), south of Australia (present study), off Argentina (BOSCHI *et al.*, 1981), south of Falkland Islands (TAKEDA and HATANAKA, 1984), Antarctic Ocean between 150°E and 115°E (present study), Atlantic sector of the Antarctic Ocean (ZARENKOV, 1968).

*P. acutifrons* was known from off southern South America. This is the first record of the species from south of Australia (Fig. 8).

(19) Pasiphaea scotiae (STEBBING, 1914) (Figs. 9–11)

Restricted synonymy

*Phye scotiae* STEBBING, 1914a: pp. 294–295, pl. 30.

Pasiphaea longispina LENZ and STRUNCK, 1914: pp. 315–316, pl. 19, figs. 1–11.—BORRA-



Fig. 9. Pasiphaea scotiae (STEBBING), a, carapace, lateral view; b, mandible;
c, first maxilla; d, second maxilla; e, first maxilliped; f, endopod of first pleopod; g, appendices interna and masculina of second pleopod.
a-e, female; f, g, male.

#### DAILE, 1916: pp. 83–84.

Pasiphaea (Phye) longispina BALSS, 1925: p. 238, fig. 11.—LEDOYER, 1979: p. 146, fig. 3B.

#### Material examined

Sample 5, 3 females (CL 11.76–17.1 mm); Sample 6, 6 females (CL 10.80–17.3 mm); Sample 7, 1 juvenile (CL 5.88 mm); Sample 8, 4 females (CL 14.9–39.2 mm); Sample 9, 2 females (CL 10.80, 22.2 mm); Sample 10, 2 females (CL 9.60, 15.6 mm); Sample 11, 1 female (CL 12.84 mm), 1 sex unknown, damaged; Sample 12, 2 females (CL 8.40, 9.94 mm); Sample 13, 2 females (CL 21.9, 29.0 mm); Sample 14, 2 females (CL 8.76, 15.8 mm); Sample 16, 1 female (CL 23.0 mm); Sample 17, 4 females (CL 8.52– 16.5 mm), 1 male (CL 30.0 mm), 1 juvenile (CL 6.48 mm); Sample 18, 16 females (CL 8.88–28.0 mm), 1 juvenile (CL 7.20 mm); Sample 22, 1 female (CL 18.2 mm).

Deutschen Südpolar-Expedition, 1901–1903. 65°15'S, 80°19'E, 1 male, CL 40mm (identified as *P. longispina* by LENZ and STRUNCK, 1914, ZMB).



Fig. 10. Pasiphaea scotiae (STEBBING), female; a, second maxilliped; b, third maxilliped; c, first pereopod; d, second pereopod; e, third pereopod; f, fourth pereopod; g, fifth pereopod; h, tip of telson, dorsal view.

Scottish National Antarctic Expedition, 1902–1904. Weddell Sea, fragments of type (identified as *Phye scotiae* by STEBBING, 1914, BMNH).

British Antarctic (Terra Nova) Expedition, 1910–1913. Stn. 276, 71°41'S, 166°47'W, 1 female, carapace broken; 1 sex unknown, CL 32mm (identified as *P. longispina* by BORRADAILE, 1916, BMNH).

British Australian and New Zealand Antarctic Expedition, 1929–1931. Stn. 27,  $64^{\circ}32'S$ ,  $75^{\circ}55'E$ , 1 female, CL 30.8 mm; Stn. 33, Coll. 593,  $66^{\circ}30'S$ ,  $61^{\circ}08'E$ , 1 female, CL 32. 0mm; Stn. 45,  $63^{\circ}51'S$ ,  $54^{\circ}16'E$ , 1 female, CL 15.6 mm; Stn. 67,  $45^{\circ}53'S$ ,  $84^{\circ}33'E$ , 1 female, CL 20.4 mm (identified as *P. longispina* by HALE, 1941, SAM). *Description* 

Rostrum slender, pointed and overreaching eyes. Carapace dorsally carinate, carina ending before posterior margin of carapace. Branchiostegal spine present. Two longitudinal carinae on lateral surface of carapace.

Mandible with eleven-toothed incisor process, palp absent. First maxilla with rounded proximal endite, strongly 15-toothed distal endite and simple endopod with one or two setae. Second maxilla with simple endopod armed with one or two setae and large scaphognathite. First maxilliped reduced to large elongate lamina, articulated distally. Endopod of second maxilliped simple, five-segmented, without epipod or ex-

opod. Third maxilliped reaching slightly beyond antennal scale with three-segmented endopod and well developed exopod.

All pereopods with well developed exopods, but no epipods. First pereopod reaching with fingers and two-thirds palm beyond antennal scale, fingers toothed, tips curved; merus unarmed on posterior margin. Second pereopod longer than first pereopod, fingers elongate and toothed, tips curved, merus armed with four to seven spines, usually six or seven spines, on entire posterior margin. Third pereopod reaching cornea, dactyli lost. Fourth pereopod short, reaching ischium-basis articulation of second pereopod. Fifth pereopod longer than fourth pereopod, reaching slightly beyond carpus-merus articulation of third pereopod, tips of setae on dactylus slightly curved.

First pleopod in male and female with broadly ovate endopod, small lobe bearing some hooks. Second pleopod with longer appendix interna bearing some hooks distally and with shorter appendix masculina bearing a seta distally. Second to sixth abdominal somites carinate dorsally. Telson dorsally sulcate and deeply incised at tip with seven to nine spines on one side.

#### Remarks

Pasiphaea scotiae (STEBBING) has been said to be possibily synonymous with P. longispina (YALDWYN, 1965: BURUKOVSKY, 1976), but they have never been critically compared. We found P. scotiae to be consistent with LENZ and STRUNCK's (1914), BORRADAILE's (1916) and HALE's (1941) specimens, which were identified by the name of P. longispina, and our materials except for the following: the palm of the first pereopod in P. scotiae is longer than the merus, while it is shorter in P. longispina and our materials. It is impossible to keep P. scotiae separate as a species distinct from P. longispina. Accordingly they are treated here as a single species.

We determined that *P. scotiae* is the senior synonym for the following reason. The STEBBING report was issued separately June 4, 1914. Whereas it is not obvious when the LENZ and STRUNCK report was issued, but only the year, 1914 is specified. In this case, the date of publication to be adopted is the last day of the year according to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Art. 21c (INTERNATIONAL TRUST FOR ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE, 1985). The publication date is estimated to be in or after August 1914 because of the date of August 1914 on the editor's preface of the volume which carried LENZ and STRUNCK report.

*P. scotiae* varies in some characters with growth. In immature specimens the rostrum does not overreach the eyes, the lateral carinae on the carapace are indistinct, the finger of the second percopod is shorter than the palm and the dorsal margins from the middle of third abdominal somite to the sixth somite is carinate. On the other hand, the rostrum overreaches the eyes, the lateral carinae on the carapace are distinct, the finger of the second percopod is as long as the palm or slightly shorter, and the dorsal margins from the second abdominal somite to the sixth is carinate in adults (about 3 cm in CL).

LENZ and STRUNCK'S (1914) specimen corresponds to the present materials except the following. In LENZ and STRUNCK'S specimen, the propodus of the fourth pereopod is four times as **lo**ng as the carpus, and the appendix masculina of the second male pleopod is elongate with several setae. Whereas the propodus of the fourth pereopod is more than three times as long as the carpus, and the appendix masculina of the



Fig. 11. Map showing distribution of Pasiphaea scotiae (STEBBING). Open and closed circles, previous records (refer to the text); open circles, taken from the stomachs of aves; squares, present record; STC, Subtropical Convergence; PF, Polar Front.

second male percopod is short with a single seta in our material. In STEBBING'S (1914a) and BORRADAILE'S (1916) specimens the propodus of the fourth percopod is 2.5 times as long as the carpus and in HALE'S (1941) specimens it is four times as long as the carpus. Thus there seems to be variation in the proportion between the segments of the fourth percopod.

## Distribution

South of Australia (present study), off Kerguelen (LEDOYER, 1979), Ross Sea (BOR-RADAILE, 1916), Antarctic Ocean between 150°E and 115°E (present study), Indian sector of the Antarctic Ocean (BALSS, 1925; HALE, 1941), Weddell Sea (STEBBING, 1914a).

*P. scotiae* is a circumpolar species. It was taken from the stomachs of an emperor penguin *Aptenodytes forsteri* GRAY, a light-mantled sooty albatross *Phoebetria palpebrata* (FORSTER) and a snow petrel *Pagodroma nivea* (FORSTER) in the Antarctic region (LENZ and STRUNCK, 1914; BALSS, 1925; HALE, 1941). The present collection extends the range of its distribution northerly to  $45^{\circ}$ S (Fig. 11). This is the first record of *P. scotiae* from south of Australia.

#### Genus Parapasiphae SMITH, 1884

#### (20) Parapasiphae sulcatifrons SMITH, 1884

Restricted synonymy

Parapasiphaä sulcatifrons SMITH, 1884: pp. 384–387, pl. 5, fig. 4; pl. 6, figs. 1–7.—HANSEN, 1908: p. 79.—KEMP, 1910: pp. 47–55, pl. 5, figs. 1–21.—STEBBING, 1914b: p. 33.— BALSS, 1925: pp. 236–237 (according to CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973, in part), fig. 10, pl. 20.—CHACE, 1940: pp. 126–128, fig. 6.—LEGENDRE, 1940: pp. 233–235, fig. 41.—BARNARD, 1950: pp. 649–650, fig. 122d.

Parapasiphae sulcatifrons PEQUEGNAT, 1970: pp. 66–67.–-CROSNIER and FOREST, 1973: pp. 142–144, fig. 41.—BUTLER, 1980: pp. 58–59, figs. on p. 58.—HANAMURA, 1983: p. 78.

Material examined

Sample 22, 4 females (CL 8.76-12.00mm), 1 juvenile (CL 7.20mm).

Description

Rostrum short, not extending beyond middle of eye stalk. Carapace dorsally carinate, strongly arched anteriorly; no spine on anterior edge of lateral portion of carapace.

Finger of second chelae not longer than palm. Abdominal somites not dorsally carinate. Telson dorsally sulcate.

#### Remarks

The number of segments of the palp on the mandible has been considered to be an important generic character in the family Pasiphaeidae. But it varies with growth in this species: juveniles have no mandibular palp, the young have a one-segmented palp, and adults have a two-segmented palp (KEMP, 1910: HANAMURA, 1983). In the present material, specimens 7.20–9.72 mm in CL have no mandibular palp and those 10.20–12.00 mm in CL have a one-segmented palp.

The terminal spine of the fourth abdominal somite is absent or inconspicuous, because the present specimens are still young.

#### Distribution

Off Japan (HANAMURA, 1979; KIKUCHI and OMORI, 1985), off British Columbia (BUTLER, 1971, 1980), off Oregon (KRYGIER and PEARCY, 1981), off Baja California (HANAMURA, 1983), south of Australia (present study), southeast of Amsterdam Island (HALE, 1941), off South Africa (KENSLEY, 1981), Davis Strait (STEPHENSEN, 1935), off Iceland (HANSEN, 1908), off Ireland (KEMP, 1910), south of Iceland to off Morocco (STEPHENSEN, 1923), off Hebrides to southwest of Newfoundland to south of the Azores (SIVERTSEN and HOLTHUIS, 1956), Gulf of Cadiz (ZARIQUIEY ALVAREZ, 1968), off east coast of United States (SMITH, 1884, 1886), off Bermuda (CHACE, 1940), Gulf of Mexico (PEQUEGNAT, 1970), off Congo (BALSS, 1925), off Gabon to off Angola (CROSNIER and FOREST, 1968, 1973).

This is the first record of this species from south of Australia.

# 4. Discussion

In this study eight species in seven genera were found in the Antarctic Zone (south of the Polar Front) and fourteen species in eleven genera were found in the subantarctic waters, between the Subtropical Convergence and the Polar Front, for a total of fifteen species in twelve genera (Table 2). In addition the following species, which were not discussed above, are found in the Antarctic Zone and subantarctic waters. According to BATE (1888), STEBBING (1914a), HALE (1941), ZARENKOV (1968), BURUKOVSKY (1976) and LEDOYER (1979), Hymenodra glacialis (BUCHHOLZ) Nematocarcinus sp. ZARENKOV

Sea area	Subtropical		Subantarctic		Antarctic							
Station	1	1′	8	2	7	AC-I-S	3	3B	4	PI-2	5	6
Aristeidae												
Gennadas gilchristi	*		*		*							
G. kempi	*			*	*	4	*	*				*
Sergestidae												
Sergestes arcticus	*		*	*	*	*		*				
S. armatus			*									
S. disjunctus			*									
S. pectinatus			*									
S. sargassi			*									
Sergia potens			*		*							
S. prehensilis	*											
Petalidium foliaceum	*		*	*	*		*	*				
Nematocarcinidae												
Nematocarcinus sp.					a)e						2	
Oplophoridae												
Oplophorus novaezeelandiae		*			*							
Acanthephyra pelagica			*	*	*	*						2,5
A. quadrispinosa	*		*		*							
Notostomus auriculatus					2]6							
Hymenodora gracilis												*
Systellaspis debilis	*	*	*		*							
Pasiphaeidae												
Pasiphaea acutifrons				*	*		*					*
P. scotiae				*	*	**	*	*	*	*	*	*
Parapasiphae sulcatifrons					*							

Table 2. Pelagic shrimps collected from the Southern Ocean between 150°E and 115°E.Asterisk indicates pelagic shrimps occurred.

#### Discussion

and Pasiphaea grandicula BURUKOVSKY occur in the Antarctic Zone, Pasiphaea rathbunae STEBBING occurs in the subantarctic waters, and N. lanceopes and N. longirostris live in both waters. This amounts to seven genera and thirteen species in the Antarctic Zone, and eleven genera and seventeen species in the subantarctic waters.

The decapod crustacean fauna of the antarctic is said to be scanty in comparison with the arctic decapod fauna (YALDWYN, 1965; ZARENKOV, 1968). In the Northern Hemisphere, fourteen species in eleven genera are known in the Subarctic Zone of the North Atlantic (HANSEN, 1908; SUND, 1912; STEPHENSEN, 1935) and eleven species in eight genera are recorded in the Subarctic Zone of the North Pacific (RATHBUN, 1904; VINOGRADOV, 1947; OMORI, *et al.*, 1972; BUTLER, 1980; IWASAKI and NEMOTO, 1987a). However, only two species, *H. glacialis* and *Pasiphaea tarda* KRÖYER, occur in the Polar-arctic Zone which is defined by EKMAN (1953) as the region north of America and Asia as well as the arctic part of the North Atlantic (SUND, 1912; STEPHENSEN, 1935). Thus the antarctic pelagic fauna is not scanty in comparison with the arctic fauna, which is particularly poor in the Polar-arctic Zone. This is in agreement with the finding that the antarctic fauna is richer in benthic species than the Polar-arctic fauna (EKMAN, 1953).

#### Acknowledgments

Our thanks are extended to Dr. K. HAYASHI of the Simonoseki University of Fisheries, Japan for identifying Nematocarcinus sp.; Ms. B. E. CASARETO of the Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo for translating the publications in Spanish into Japanese; and Ms. N. OKA of the Yamashina Institute for Ornithology, Japan for instructing on aves. We thank the following institutions for the loan of types and reference specimens: the British Museum (Natural History), London; the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge; the South Australian Museum, Adelaide; and the Zoologisches Museum, Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, DDR. We are grateful to Dr. F.A. CHACE, Jr. of the U.S. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution for helpful criticism and kindly reading the manuscript. We would like to thank Drs. K. HAYASHI; B. KENSLEY of the U.S. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution; and to Dr. S. NISHIDA and Mr. E.V. THUESEN of the Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo for reading the manuscript and for their many useful comments and criticism. We thank also officers, crew and scientists on the R.V. HAKUHO MARU, KH-83-4 cruise for their help in collecting samples. This study was partially supported by the Nissan Science Foundation.

- ABBES, R. and CASANOVA, J. P. (1973): Crustacés décapodes pélagiqes Penaeidea et Caridea récoltés par la "Thalassa" dans l'Atlantique eurafricain. Rev. Trav. Inst. Pêches Marit., 37, 257–290.
- AIZAWA, Y. (1974): Ecological studies of micronektonic shrimps (Crustacea, Decapoda) in the western North Pacific. Bull. Ocean Res. Inst. Univ. Tokyo, 6, 1-84.
- BAGE, F. (1938): Crustacea Decapoda (in part). Aust. Antarct. Exped. 1911-14, Sci. Rep., Ser. C., 2 (6), 1-13, pl. 4.
- BALSS, H. (1925): Macrura der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition. 2. Natantia, Teil A. Wiss. Ergebn. Valdivia Exped., 20, 217–315, pls. 20–28.
- BALSS, H. (1927): Macrura der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition. 3. Natantia, Teil B. Wiss. Ergebn. Valdivia Exped., 23, 247–275, pl. 6.
- BARNARD, K. H. (1950): Descriptive catalogue of South African decapod Crustacea. Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 38, 1-837.
- BATE, C. S. (1881): On the Penaeidea. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., Ser. 5, 8, 169-196, pls. 11-12.
- BATE, C. S. (1888): Report on the Crustacea Macrura collected by H.M.S. *Challenger* during the years 1873–76. Rep. Voy. Challenger, Zool., 24, I–XC, 1–942, pls. 1–150.
- BORRADAILE, L. A. (1916): Crustacea. Part I. Decapoda. Br. Antarct. (Terra Nova) Exped. 1910, Zool., 3, 75-110.
- BOSCHI, E. E. (1973): Aportes al conocimiento de tres especies de camarones de aguas profundas del Atlántico Sur (Crustacea, Caridea). Physis (Buenos Aires), A, 32, 233–244.
- BOSCHI, E. E., IORIO, M. I. and FISCHBACH, K. (1981): Distribution y abundancia de los crustaceos decapodos capturados en las campañas de los B/I "Walther Herwig" y "Shinkai Maru" en el mar Argentino, 1978–1979. Contrib. Inst. Nac. Invest. Des. Pesq., 383, 233–253.
- Boschma, H. (1949): Ellobiopsidae. Discovery Rep., 25, 281–314, pls. 38–41.
- \*BUCHHOLZ, R. (1874): Crustaceen. Die zweite deutsche Nordpolarfahrt in den Jahren 1869 und 1870, unter Führung Kapitän Karl Koldewey, 2, 262–399, pls. 1–15.
- BURKENROAD, M. D. (1936): The Aristaeinae, Solenocerinae and pelagic Penaeinae of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection. Materials for a revision of the oceanic Penaeidae. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll., 5, 1–151.
- BURKENROAD, M. D. (1940): Preliminary descriptions of twenty-one new species of pelagic Penaeidea (Crustacea Decapoda) from the Danish Oceanographical Expeditions. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., Ser. 11, 6, 35–54.
- BURUKOVSKY, R. N. (1976): Novyy vid krevetok *Pasiphaea grandicula* i kratkaya svodka vidov roda (A new species of shrimp *Pasiphaea grandicula* sp. n. (Decapoda, Crustacea) and a short outline of the genus species). Biologiya Morya, 4, 17–28.
- BURUKOVSKY, R. N. and ROMENSKY, L. L. (1982): Novyye nakhozhdeniya neskol'kikh vidov krevetok i opisaniye *Pasiphaea natalensis* sp. n. (New findings of several species of shrimps and description of *Pasiphaea natalensis* sp. n.). Zool. Zh., **61**, 1791–1801.
- BUTLER, T. H. (1971): Eualus berkeleyorum n. sp., and records of other caridean shrimps (order Decapoda) from British Columbia. J. Fish. Res. Board Can., 28, 1615–1620.
- BUTLER, T. H. (1980): Shrimps of the Pacific coast of Canada. Can. Bull. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 202, 1–280.
- CALMAN, W. T. (1925): On macrurous decapod Crustacea collected in South African waters by the S. S. "Pickle", with a note on specimens of the genus Sergestes by H. J. HANSEN. Rep. Fish. Mar. Biol. Surv. S. Afr., 4, 1-26, pls. 1-4.
- CALMAN, W. T. (1939): Crustacea; Caridea. John Murray Exped. 1933-34. Sci. Rep., 6, 183-224.
- CHACE, F. A., JR. (1940): Plankton of the Bermuda Oceanographic Expeditions. IX. The bathypelagic caridean Crustacea. Zoologica, 25, 117-209.
- CHACE, F. A., JR. (1947): The deep-sea prawns of the family Oplophoridae in the Bingham Oceanographic Collection. Bull. Bingham Oceanogr. Coll., 11, 1–51.
- CHUN, C. (1888): Die pelagische Thierwelt in grösseren Meerestiefen und ihre Beziehungen zu der Oberflachenfauna. Bibl. Zool., 1, 1–72, pls. 1–5.

- COUTIÈRE, H. (1905): Note préliminaire sur les *Eucyphotes* recueillis par S.A.S. le Prince de Monaco à l'aide du filet à grande ouverture (Campagnes de la "Princesse-Alice" 1903–1904). Bull. Mus. Océanogr. Monaco, **48**, 1–35.
- COUTIÈRE, H. (1906): Notes sur la synonymie et le développement de quelques Hoplophoridae (Campagnes de la "Princesse-Alice" 1904–1905). Bull. Mus. Océanogr. Monaco, 70, 1–20.
- COUTIÈRE, H. (1938a): Note préliminaire sur les *Eucyphotes* recueillis par S.A.S. le Prince de Monaco à l'aide du filet à grande ouverture (Campagnes de la Princesse Alice 1903–1904). Résult. Camp. Sci. Monaco, **97**, 193–212, pl.6, figs. 1–4; pl. 7, figs. 1–8.
- COUTIÈRE, H. (1938b): Notes sur la synonymie et le développment de quelques Hoplophoridae (Campagnes de la Princesse Alice, 1904–1905). Résult. Camp. Sci. Monaco, 97, 212–223, pl. 6, figs. 1–7.
- CROSNIER, A. and FOREST, J. (1968): Note préliminaire sur les Carides recueillis par l'(Ombango) au large du plateau continental, du Gabon a l'Angola (Crustacea Decapoda Natantia). Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat. Paris, 2<sup>e</sup>, Ser., **39**, 1123-1147.
- CROSNIER, A. and FOREST, J. (1973): Les crevettes profondes de l'Atlantique oriental tropical. Faune Tropicale, 19, 1-409.
- DOFLEIN, F. and BALSS, H. (1912): Die Dekapoden und Stomatopoden der Hamburger Magalhaensischen Sammelreise 1892/93. Mitt. Naturh. Mus. Hamburg, 29, 25-44.
- DONALDSON, H.A. (1975): Vertical distribution and feeding of sergestid shrimps (Decapoda: Natantia) collected near Bermuda. Mar. Biol., 31, 37–50.
- Екман, S. (1953): Zoogeography of the Sea. London, Sidgwick and Jackson, 417 p.
- FAXON, W. (1893): Reports on the dredging operations off the west coast of Central America to the Galapagos, to the west coast of Mexico, and in the Gulf of California, in charge of Alexander Agassiz, carried on by the U.S. Fish Commission steamer "Albatross", during 1891, Lieut.-Commander Z.L. Tanner, U.S.N., commanding. VI. Preliminary descriptions of new species of crustacea. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard, 24, 149-220.
- FAXON, W. (1895): Reports on an exploration off the west coasts of Mexico, Central and South America, and off the Galapagos Islands, in charge of Alexander Agassiz, by the U.S. Fish Commission steamer "Albatross", during 1891, Lieut.-Commander Z. L. Tanner, U.S. N., commanding. XV. The stalk-eyed Crustacea. Mem. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard, 18, 1-292, pls. A-K, 1-57, chart 1.
- FIGUEIRA, A.J.G. (1957): Madeiran decapod crustaceans in the collection of the Museu Municipal do Funchal. I. On some interesting deep-sea prawns of the families Pasiphaeidae, Oplophoridae and Pandalidae. Bol. Mus. Municipal Funchal, No. 10, Art. 26, 22–51, pls. 1–4.
- GORDON, I. (1935): On new or imperfectly known species of Crustacea Macrura. J. Linn. Soc. London, Zool., 39, 307-351.
- GRIFFITHS, F. B. and BRANDT, S. B. (1983a): Mesopelagic Crustacea in and around a warm-core eddy in the Tasman Sea off eastern Australia. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwater Res., 34, 609–623.
- GRIFFITHS, F. B. and BRANDT, S. B. (1983b): Distribution of mesopelagic decapod Crustacea in and around a warm-core eddy in the Tasman Sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 12, 175–184.
- GURNEY, R. and LEBOUR, M. V. (1940): Larvae of decapod Crustacea. Part VI. The genus Sergestes. Discovery Rep., 20, 1–68.
- HALE, H. M. (1941): Decapod Crustacea. Rep. B.A.N.Z. Antarct. Res. Exped. 1929-1931, Ser. B, 4, 257-286, pl. 3.
- HANAMURA, Y. (1979): Nippon kinkai-san fuyûsei ebirui mokuroku (A check list of pelagic shrimps from Japanese waters). Tôkai Daigaku Kaiyôken Nenpô Dai-1-gô Shiryô (Ann. Rep. Inst. Oceanic Res. & Develop. Tokai Univ., 1, Notes), 161–181.
- HANAMURA, Y. (1983): Pelagic shrimps (Penaeidea and Caridea) from Baja California and its adjacent region with description of a new species. Bull. Biogeogr. Soc. Jpn., 38, 51-85.
- HANSEN, H. J. (1896): On the development and the species of crustaceans of the genus Sergestes. Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1896, 936–970.
- HANSEN, H. J. (1903): On the crustaceans of the genera *Petalidium* and *Sergestes* from the 'Challenger', with an account of luminous organs in *Sergestes challengeri*, n. sp. Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1903, 52-79, pls. 11-12.

- HANSEN, H. J. (1908): Crustacea Malacostraca. I. Danish Ingolf-Exped., 3, 1-120, pls. 1-5, chart 1.
- HANSEN, H. J. (1919): The Sergestidae of the Siboga Expedition. Siboga Exped., Monogr., 38, 1-65, pls. 1-5.
- HANSEN, H. J. (1922): Crustacés décapodes (Sergestides) provenant des campagnes des yachts Hirondelle et Princesse-Alice (1885-1915). Rés. Camp. Sci. Monaco, 64, 1-232, pls. 1-11.
- HANSEN, H. J. (1925): Note on specimens of the genus Sergestes. In W. T. CALMAN, On macrurous decapod Crustacea collected in South African waters by the S. S. "Pickle". Rep. Fish. Mar. Biol. Surv. S. Afr., 4, 22–26.
- HARGREAVES, P. M. (1984): The distribution of Decapoda (Crustacea) in the open ocean and nearbottom over an adjacent slope in the northern north-east Atlantic Ocean during autumn 1979.
   J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K., 64, 829-857.
- HAYASHI, K. and MIYAKE, S. (1969): Bathypelagic caridean shrimps collected by "Koyo Maru" during the International Indian Ocean Expedition. Occas. Pap. Zool. Lab. Fac. Agric. Kyushu Univ., 2, 59-77.
- HOLTHUIS, L. B. (1951): The caridean Crustacea of tropical West Africa. Atl. Rep., 2, 7-187.
- HOLTHUIS, L. B. (1952): Reports of the Lund University Chile Expedition 1948–1949. 5. The Crustacea Decapoda Macrura of Chile. Lund Univ. Årsskr. N.F. Avd. 2, Bd. 47, Nr. 10, 1–109.
- HOLTHUIS, L.B. (1977): The Mediterranean decapod and stomatopod Crustacea in A. Risso's published works and manuscripts. Ann. Mus. Hist. Nat. Nice, 5, 37–88.
- HOLTHUIS, L. B. and GOTTLIEB, E. (1958): An annotated list of the decapod Crustacea of the Mediterranean coast of Israel, with an appendix listing the Decapoda of the eastern Mediterranean. Bull. Res. Counc. Isr., **7B**, 1–126.
- HOLTHUIS, L. B. and SIVERTSEN, E. (1967): The Crustacea Decapoda Mysidacea and Cirripedia of the Tristan da Cunha Archipelago with a revision of the «frontalis» subgroup of the genus Jasus. Res. Norw. Sci. Exped. Tristan da Cunha 1937–1938, 52, 1–55, pls. 1–5.
- HOPKINS, T. L., MILLIKEN, D. M., BELL, L. M., MCMICHAEL, E. J., HEFFERNAN, J. J. and CANO, R. V. (1981): The landward distribution of oceanic plankton and micronekton over the west Florida continental shelf as related to their vertical distribution. J. Plankton Res., 3, 645–658.
- ILLIG, G. (1914): Die Dekapoden der Deutschen Südpolar-Expedition 1901–1903. II. Die Sergestiden. Dtsch. Südpolar-Exped., 15, Zool., 7, 349–376.
- ILLIG, G. (1927): Die Sergestiden der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition. Wiss. Ergebn. Valdivia Exped., 23, 279-354.
- INTERNATIONAL TRUST FOR ZOOLOGICAL NOMENCLATURE (1985): International Code of Zoological Nomenclature Third Edition adopted by the XX General Assembly of the International Union of Biological Sciences. Berkeley, Univ. Calif. Press, 338 p.
- IWASAKI, N. and NEMOTO, T. (1987a): Distribution of pelagic shrimps in the Bering Sea and the northern North Pacific. Rep. Usa Mar. Biol. Inst., Kochi Univ., 9 (in press).
- IWASAKI, N. and NEMOTO, T. (1987b): Distribution and community structure of pelagic shrimps in the Southern Ocean between 150°E and 115°E. Polar Biol. (in press).
- KEMP, S. W. (1906): On the occurrence of the genus Acanthephyra in deep water off the west coast of Ireland. Fish. Irel. Sci. Invest., 1905, 1, 1–28, pls. 1–2.
- KEMP, S. W. (1907): Biscayan plankton. Part XI. Decapoda. With note on distribution by G. H. Fowller. Trans. Linn. Soc. London, Ser. 2, Zool., 10, 205–217, pls. 14–15.
- KEMP, S. W. (1910): The Decapoda Natantia of the coasts of Ireland. Fish. Irel. Sci. Invest., 1908, 1, 1–190, pls. 1–23.
- KEMP, S. W. (1939): On Acanthephyra purpurea and its allies (Crustacea Decapoda, Hoplophoridae). Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., Ser. 11, 4, 568–579.
- KENSLEY, B. F. (1968): Deep sea decapod Crustacea from west of Cape Point, South Africa. Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 50, 283–323.
- KENSLEY, B. F. (1969): Decapod Crustacea from the south-west Indian Ocean. Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 52, 149–181.
- KENSLEY, B. F. (1971a): The family Sergestidae in the waters around southern Africa (Crustacea, Decapoda, Natantia). Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 57, 216–264.

- KENSLEY, B. F. (1971b): The genus *Gennadas* in the waters around southern Africa. Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 57, 271-294.
- KENSLEY, B. F. (1977): The South African Museum's *Meiring Naude* cruises. Part 5. Crustacea, Decapoda, Reptantia and Natantia. Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 74, 13-44.
- KENSLEY, B. F. (1981): The South African Museum's *Meiring Naude* cruises. Part 12. Crustacea Decapoda of the 1977, 1978, 1979 cruises. Ann. S. Afr. Mus., **83**, 49–78.
- KIKUCHI, T. and OMORI, M. (1985): Vertical distribution and migration of oceanic shrimps at two locations off the Pacific coast of Japan. Deep-Sea Res., 32, 837–851.
- KING, J. E. and IVERSEN, R.T.B. (1962): Midwater trawling for forage organisms in the Central Pacific, 1951–1956. U.S. Fish. Wildl. Serv. Fish. Bull., 62, 271–321.
- \*KRÖYER, H. (1855): Bidrag til Kundskab om Krebsdyrslaegten Sergestes Edw. K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Forhand., 1855, 22-34.
- KRÖYER, H. (1859): Forsög til en monographisk Fremstilling af Kraebsdyrslaegten Sergestes. Med.
   Bemaerkninger om Dekapodernes Höreredskaber. K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr., Ser. 5, 4, 217-303, pls. 1-5.
- KRYGIER, E. E. and PEARCY, W. G. (1981): Vertical distribution and biology of pelagic decapod crustaceans off Oregon. J. Crust. Biol., 1, 70–95.
- LEDOYER, M. (1979): Caridea (Crustacea, Decapoda) des iles Kerguelen, Crozet, Marion et Prince Edward, et du Sud de Madagascar (banc Walters), des campagnes MD. 03, MD. 04, et MD. 08 du M/S «Marion-Dufresne». CNFRA, 44, 137-153.
- LEGENDRE, R. (1940): La faune pélagique de l'Atlantique au large du golfe de Gascogne, recueillie dans des estomacs de germons. Troisième partie; Invertébrés (Céphalopodes exclus). Parasites du germon. Ann. Inst. Océanogr. Monaco, 20, 127-310.
- LENZ, H. and STRUNCK, K. (1914): Die Dekapoden der Deutschen Siidpolar-Expedition 1901–1903.
   I. Brachyuren und Macruren mit Ausschluss der Sergestiden. Dtsch. Siidpolar-Exped., 15, Zool., 7, 257–345, pls. 12–22.
- Lo BIANCO, S. (1903): Le pesche abissali eseguite da F. A. Krupp col Yacht Puritan melle adiacenze di Capri ed in altre localita del Mediterraneo. Mitt. Zool. Stat. Neapel, 16, 109-279, pls. 7-9.
- MAN, J. G. DE (1920): Families Pasiphaeidae, Stylodactylidae, Hoplophoridae, Nematocarcinidae, Thalassocaridae, Pandalidae, Psalidopodidae, Gnathophyllidae, Processidae, Glyphocrangonidae and Crangonidae. The Decapoda of the Siboga Expedition. Part IV. Siboga Exped., 39a<sup>3</sup>, 1-318, pls. 1-25.
- MAN, J. G. DE (1931): On a new species of the genus Hoplophorus [Oplophorus] H.M.-EDW, Hoplophorus novaezeelandiae, sp. n. J. Linn. Soc. London., Zool., 37, 369-378.
- MARTENS, E. VON (1868): Ueber einige ostasiatische Susswasserthiere. Arch. Naturgesch., 34, 1–67, pl. 1.
- METZGER, A. (1875): Crustaceen aus den Ordnungen Edriophthalmata und Podophthalmata. Jahrbes. Comm. Wiss. Unters. Dtsch. Meere Kiel 1872, 1873, 277–309, pl. 6.
- MILNE, D. S. (1968): Sergestes similis Hansen and S. consobrinus. n. sp. (Decapoda) from the northeastern Pacific. Crustaceana, 14, 21-34.
- MILNE EDWARDS, A. (1881): Description de quelques Crustacés Macroures provenant des grandes profondeurs de la mer des Antilles. Ann. Sci. Nat., Zool., Ser. 6, 11, 1-16.
- MILNE EDWARDS, A. (1891): Crustacés. Mission scientifique du Cap Horn. 1882-1883, Vol. 6, Zool. Pt. 2F, 1-54, pls. 1-7.
- MILNE EDWARDS, H. (1830): Description des genres *Glaucothoé*, *Sicyonie*, *Sergeste* et *Acète*, de l'ordre des Crustacés décapodes. Ann. Sci. Nat., **19**, 333-352, pls. 8-11.
- MILNE EDWARDS, H. (1837): Histoire naturelle des Crustacés, comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux, 2, 1–532, pls. 1–42, charts 1–32.
- NAKAI, T., HASUMOTO, H. and NEMOTO, T. (1986): Oceanographic conditions of the Australasian sector of the Southern Ocean in the summer of 1983-84. Mem. Natl Inst. Polar Res., Spec. Issue, 40, 467-478.
- NAKAZAWA, K. and TERAO, A. (1915): Sakura-ebi no kenkyû (Studies on 'Sakura-ebi'). Dôbutsugaku Zasshi (Zool. Mag., Tokyo), 27, 622-630, pl. 16.

٥

- OMORI, M. (1965): A 160-cm opening-closing plankton net. I. Description of the gear. J. Oceanogr. Soc. Jpn., 21, 212–220.
- OMORI, M. (1974): The biology of pelagic shrimps in the ocean. Adv. Mar. Biol., 12, 233-324.
- OMORI, M., KAWAMURA, A. and AIZAWA, Y. (1972): Sergestes similis HANSEN, its distribution and importance as food of fin and sei whales in the North Pacific Ocean. Biological Oceanography of the Northern North Pacific Ocean, ed. by A.Y. TAKENOUCHI et al. Tokyo, Idemitsu Shoten, 373–391.
- ORTMANN, A. (1893): Decapoden und Schizopoden. Ergebn. Atlant. Plankton-Exped. Humboldt-Stif., 2, (G.b.), 1–120, pls. 1–10.
- PEARCY, W. G., KRYGIER, E. E., MESECAR, R. and RAMSEY, F. (1977): Vertical distribution and migration of oceanic micronekton off Oregon. Deep-Sea Res., 24, 223-245.
- PEQUEGNAT, L. H. (1970): Deep-sea caridean shrimps with descriptions of six new species. Contributions on the Biology of the Gulf of Mexico, ed. by E. PEQUEGNAT and F.A. CHACE, JR. Houston, Gulf Publ. Co., 59–123 (Texas A & M Univ. Oceanogr. Stud. Vol. 1).
- PESTA, O. (1913): Zur Kenntnis einiger Tiefsee-Decapoden der Adria. Zool. Anz., 42, 60-72.
- PESTA, O. (1918): Die Decapodenfauna der Adria. Leipzig and Wien, Franz Deuticke, I-X, 1-500, figs. 1-150, chart 1.
- RATHBUN, M.J. (1902): Descriptions of new decapod crustaceans from the west coast of North America. Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus., 24, 885-905.
- RATHBUN, M. J. (1904): Decapod crustaceans of the northwest coast of North America. Harriman Alaska Exped., 10, 1-190, pls. 1-10.
- RATHBUN, M. J. (1906): The Brachyura and Macrura of the Hawaiian Islands. Bull. U.S. Fish. Comm., 23, 827-930, pls. 1-24.
- RETAMAL, M. A. (1973): Contribución al conocimiento de los decápodos de la región magallánica. Gayana Zool., 29, 1-24.
- RETAMAL, M. A. (1981): Catalogo ilustrado de los crustáceos decápodos de Chile. Gayana, Zool., 44, 1-110.
- RICHARDSON, L. R. and YALDWYN, J. C. (1958): A guide to the natant decapod Crustacea (shrimps and prawns) of New Zealand. Tuatara, 7, 17-41.
- \*RISSO, A. (1816): Histoire naturelle des Crustacés des environs de Nice, 1–175, pls. 1–3.
- ROBERTSON, D. A., ROBERTS, P. E. and WILSON, J. B. (1978): Mesopelagic faunal transition across the subtropical convergence east of New Zealand. N.Z.J. Mar. Freshwater Res., 12, 295–312.
- SAKAI, K. and NAKANO, T. (1985): Studies on the genus Sergestes (Crustacea, Decapoda). II. Sergestes seminudus HANSEN, 1919 and Sergestes prehensilis BATE, 1881. Shikoku Joshi Daigaku Kiyô (Bull. Shikoku Women's Univ.), 4(2), 17-35.
- SARS, G.O. (1877): Prodromus descriptionis Crustaceorum et Pycnogonidarum, qvae in Expeditione Norvegica anno 1876, observavit. Arch. Math. Naturvidensk., 2, 237-271.
- \*SAVIGNY, J. C. (1816): Memoires sur les animaux sans vertèbres. Paris, Vol. 1, 1–117, pls. 1–12.
- SCHMITT, W. L. (1932): A new species of *Pasiphaea* from the Straits of Magellan. J. Wash. Acad. Sci., 22, 333-335.
- SIVERTSEN, E. and HOLTHUIS, L. B. (1956): Crustacea Decapoda (The Penaeidea and Stenopodidea excepted). Rep. Sci. Res. "Michael Sars" North Atlant. Deep-sea Exped. 1910., 5, 1–54, pls. 1–4.
- SMITH, S. I. (1882): Report on the Crustacea. Part I. Decapoda. Reports on the results of dredging, under the supervision of Alexander Agassiz, on the east coast of the United States, during the summer of 1880, by the U. S. coast survey steamer "Blake", Commander J.R. Bartlett, U.S.N., commanding. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harvard, 10, 1–108, pls. 1–16.
- SMITH, S. I. (1884): Report on the decapod Crustacea of the Albatross dredgings off the east coast of the United States in 1883. Rep. U.S. Fish. Comm., 10, 345–426, pls. 1–10.
- SMITH, S. I. (1886): Report on the decapod Crustacea of the Albatross dredgings off the east coast of the United States during the summer and autumn of 1884. Rep. U.S. Fish, Comm., 1885, 605– 706, pls. 1–20.
- STEBBING, T.R.R. (1905): South African Crustacea. Part III. Mar. Invest. S. Afr., 4, 21-123, pls. 17-26.

ħ

- STEBBING, T.R.R. (1914a): Stalk-eyed Crustacea Malacostraca of the Scottish National Antarctic Expedition. Trans. R. Soc. Edinburgh, 50, Part 2, 253–307, pls. 23–32.
- STEBBING, T.R.R. (1914b): South African Crustacea (Part VII of S. A. Crustacea, for the Marine Investigations in South Africa). Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 15, 1-55, pls. 1-12.
- STEBBING, T.R.R. (1917): South African Crustacea (Part IX. of S. A. Crustacea, for the Marine Investigations in South Africa). Ann. S. Afr. Mus., 17, 23–46, pls. 1–8.
- STEPHENSEN, K. (1923): Decapoda-Macrura excl. Sergestidae. Rep. Dan. Oceanogr. Exped. Med., 2, 1-85.
- STEPHENSEN, K. (1935): Crustacea Decapoda. The Godthaab Expedition 1928. Medd. Grønland, 80, 1–94.
- \*STIMPSON, W. (1860): Prodromus descriptionis animalium evertebratorum, quae in Expeditione ad Oceanum Pacificum Septentrionalem, a Republica Federata missa, Cadwaladoro Ringgold et Johanne Rodgers Ducibus, observavit et descripsit. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, 1860, 22-47.
- SUND, O. (1912): The glass shrimps (*Pasiphaea*) in Northern Waters. Bergens Mus. Aarbok, 6, 1-18, pls. 1-3.
- SUND, O. (1920): Peneides and stenopides from the "Michael Sars" North Atlantic Deep-sea Expedition 1910. Rep. Sci. Res. "Michael Sars" North Atlant. Deep-sea Exped. 1910, 3, 1-36, pls. 1-2.
- SZÜTS, A. VON (1915): Neue und interessante Decapodenkrebse aus der Adria. Zool. Anz., 45, 433-438, 576.
- TAKEDA, M. and HATANAKA, H. (1984): Records of decapod crustaceans from the southwestern Atlantic collected by the Japanese fisheries research trawlers. Bull. Natl. Sci. Mus., Tokyo, Ser. A, 10, 7-24.
- THIELE, J. (1905): Über einige stielaugige Krebse von Messina. Zool. Jahrb., Suppl., 8, 443–474, pls. 14–16.
- VINOGRADOV, L. G. (1947): Desyatinogiye rakoobraznyye Okhotskogo Morya (Decapod crustaceans of the Sea of Okhotsk). Izv. Tikhookean Nauchno Issled. Inst. Rybn. Khoz. Okeanogr., 25, 67-124 (English transl. from Russian by Fish. Res. Board Can., Transl. Ser., 477, 1964, 1-100).
- WALTERS, J. F. (1976): Ecology of Hawaiian sergestid shrimps (Penaeidea: Sergestidae). Fish. Bull., 74, 799-836.
- WILLIAMSON, H. C. (1915): Decapoden. 1. Teil (Larven). Nordisches Plankton, 6, 315-588.
- WOOD-MASON, J. and ALCOCK, A. (1891): Natural history notes from H. M. Indian marine survey steamer 'Investigator', Commander R. F. Hoskyn, R. N., commanding. No. 21. Note on the results of the last season's deep-sea dredging. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., Ser. 6, 7, 186-202.
- YALDWYN, J. C. (1957): Deep-water Crustacea of the genus Sergestes (Decapoda, Natantia) from Cook Strait, New Zealand. Zool. Publ. Victoria Univ., 22, 1-27.
- YALDWYN, J. C. (1965): Antarctic and subantarctic decapod Crustacea. Biogeography and Ecology in Antarctica, ed. by P. VAN OYE and J. VAN MIEGHEM. Hague, Dr. W. Junk, 324–332 (Monographiae Biologicae, Vol. 15).
- ZARENKOV, N. A. (1968): Desyatinogiye rakoobraznyye (Crustacea Decapoda), sobrannyye Sovetskimi Antarkticheskimi Ekspeditsiyami v antarkticheskoy i antiboreal'noy oblastyakh (Crustacean Decapoda collected by the Soviet Antarctic Expeditions in the Antarctic and antiboreal regions). Rezultaty Biologicheskikh Issledovanii Sovetskoi Antarkticheskoi Exspeditsii (1955– 1958 gg), 4. Red. Zoologicheskiy Institut, Akademiya Nauk SSSR. Leningrad, Izd. Nauka, 153–199 (Issledovaniya Fauny Morei, 6).

ZARIQUIEY ALVAREZ, R. (1968): Crustáceos decápodos ibéricos. Invest. Pesq., 32 1-510.

ZHONG, H. and LAN, J. (1983): Studies on the deep-water shrimps from the northeastern and central parts of the South China Sea. Contrib. Mar. Biol. Res. South China Sea, 1, 173–191, pl. 1 (In Chinese, with English summany).

<sup>\*</sup> Not seen.

#### Pelagic Shrimps from the Southern Ocean

Note added after submission of the manuscript: We were given the opportunity to read a manuscript on Pasiphaea species from the Southern Ocean (A. CLARKE and L. J. HOLMES, Br. Antarct. Surv. Bull., 74, 17-30, 1987). CLARKE and HOLMES viewed the ELTANIN collections between 1962 and 1968 and those of the DISCOVERY in 1979. They reported that *P. scotiae* was probably synonymous with *P. longispina* and appeared to have a circumpolar distribution, ranging from close to the continent as far north as the Polar Front. Moreover, they found that *P. rathbunae* lived in the subantarctic and antarctic waters. We would like to thank Dr. CLARKE of the British Antarctic Survey for sending us their manuscript.

40