

1 **Effects of horizontal wind structure on a gravity wave event in the**

2 **middle atmosphere over Syowa (69°S, 40°E), the Antarctic**

3 **Masaru Kogure^{1,2}, Takuji Nakamura^{2,1}, Mitsumu K. Ejiri^{2,1}, Takanori Nishiyama^{2,1},**

4 **Yoshihiro Tomikawa^{2,1} and Masaki Tsutsumi^{2,1}**

5 ¹ SOKENDAI (Department of Polar Science, The Graduate University for Advanced
6 Studies), 10-3 Midoricho, Tachikawa, Tokyo, Japan

7 ²National Institute of Polar Research, 10-3 Midoricho, Tachikawa, Tokyo, Japan

8 *Corresponding author: Masaru Kogure (kogure.masaru@nipr.ac.jp)

9
10 **Key points**

11 • Enhancement of gravity wave energy in the upper stratosphere and lower
12 mesosphere was observed by Syowa lidar in the middle of August 2014.

13 • The enhancement was probably caused by the refraction of gravity waves emitted
14 from various latitudes toward Syowa, due to the poleward tilting of the polar night
15 jet with altitude.

16 • A depression of gravity wave energy during the enhancement could be induced by

17 critical level filtering due to a synoptic scale disturbance in the upper stratosphere.

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Nightly mean potential energy of gravity waves (GWs) per unit mass (E_p) over Syowa
21 Station (69°S, 40°E) was calculated from temperature profiles observed by the
22 Rayleigh/Raman lidar from 2011 to 2015. The E_p values in the upper stratosphere and
23 lower mesosphere were significantly enhanced on August 8–21, 2014, except on August
24 12. A ray tracing analysis showed that large-scale GWs emitted from various latitudes
25 could be refracted and forced to converge above Syowa due to the poleward tilting of
26 the polar night jet (PNJ) with altitude. It should be noted that E_p on August 12 was
27 smaller than the other values during the enhancement, despite similar PNJ conditions. A
28 synoptic scale disturbance which passed on August 12 could have blocked the GWs
29 from propagating upward through critical level filtering. These results suggest that
30 convergence of the wave should be considered as a part of the intermittency of the
31 GWs.

32 **Plain language summary**

33 Atmospheric waves of short horizontal scale, known as gravity waves (GW), transport
34 momentum through the atmosphere from the Earth's surface, and drive North-South

35 circulations. These airflows profoundly influence the temperature structure at heights
36 corresponding to the ozone layer. However, the small scale of GWs makes it necessary
37 to artificially represent them in atmospheric models. GW activity is quite variable in
38 space and time and the representation of this variation is a key to improve long-term
39 climate forecasts. Previous observational studies mainly discussed variations of GW
40 sources and wind filtering, but such variations do not explain the observations in this
41 study. We suggest that the GWs generated at various latitudes converged to our
42 observation area enhancing the local GW activity. Moreover, the convergence was
43 found to be related to the structure of the polar night jet. This result suggests that the
44 lack of wave horizontal propagation typical in model wave parameterizations could
45 contribute to their cold bias and unrealistic representation of ozone hole over the
46 Antarctic in spring.

47 Keyword: Gravity wave, Middle atmosphere, Lidar, Synoptic scale disturbance, Polar
48 night jet, Intermittency.

49

50 **1. Introduction.**

51 Gravity waves (GWs) transport their energy and momentum vertically from
52 the lower to upper atmosphere (Holton, 1983; Lindzen, 1981; Matsuno, 1982), which
53 causes meridional circulation and influences temperature structures (Hitchman et al.,
54 1989). The effect of the GWs is usually described by parameterizations in operational
55 general circulation models (GCMs), because the GCMs cannot explicitly represent a
56 full spectrum of GWs due to limitations of computational resources. However, GW
57 parameters (e.g., local and temporal variation of GW activities) in the GW drag
58 parametrization scheme are not well constrained by observations (Bühler and McIntyre,
59 2003; Alexander et al., 2010; Hertzog et al., 2012; Gellar et al., 2013). In addition,
60 parameterizations in most of practical GCMs take into account only vertical propagation
61 of these waves neglecting horizontal propagation, which provides inaccurate magnitude,
62 direction, and distribution of GW drag (Kalisch et al., 2014). In particular, some model
63 studies such as Alexander et al. (2016), Dunkerton (1984) and Sato et al. (2009)
64 highlighted that high GW activity near polar night jet (PNJ) are caused by the horizontal

65 propagation of these waves. The GWs with westward wavenumbers are refracted
66 toward the PNJ due to the meridional zonal wind shear and converge to this region.

67 The unrealistic representation of the effect of the GWs in operational models causes a
68 cold bias in winter and spring over the Antarctic, which leads to an unrealistic forecast
69 of ozone depletion (Butchart et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2017). Further observations to
70 quantify actual GW characteristics, e.g., their amplitude, intermittency, and propagation
71 are required in order to physically constrain the GW scheme. In particular, the
72 observations near the southern PNJ region are important because of the uncertainty in
73 the parametrization scheme and the high GW activity in this area. In addition, study of
74 GW activity is easier in the southern PNJ region because sudden stratospheric warmings
75 seldom happen.

76 Kogure et al. (2017) demonstrated seasonal and vertical variations of GW activity over
77 Syowa Station in the Antarctic (69°S , 40°E), using a Rayleigh/Raman (RR) lidar during
78 the period 2011 to 2013. However, they did not discuss shorter time variations, i.e., day-
79 to-day variation. The present work focuses on a high activity event for August 8–21,
80 2014 which was discovered through a detailed analysis of 5 years of observational data.

81 The present paper is structured as follows: Observational systems and data sets are
82 described in section 2. In section 3, evidence of enhanced GW activity in the upper
83 stratosphere and the lower mesosphere (USLM) over Syowa on August 8–21, 2014 is
84 presented. We investigated the cause of this enhancement using meteorological
85 reanalysis data and the results are presented in section 4. The conclusions are drawn in
86 section 5.

87 **2. Observation and Data Sets**

88 The RR lidar was installed in January 2011 at Syowa by the 52nd Japanese Antarctic
89 Research Expedition. Its transmitter is a pulsed neodymium: yttrium/aluminum/garnet
90 laser (355 nm) with a 300 mJ pulse energy and a 20 Hz repetition frequency. Its receiver
91 telescope has a primary mirror with an 82 cm diameter and is equipped with three
92 photomultiplier tubes. For further details regarding this RR lidar system, see Suzuki et
93 al. (2012). The RR lidar observed the photon count profiles at night since May 2011. In
94 this study, the temperature profiles were derived and analyzed from 2011 to 2015
95 (except for summer periods).

96 The temperature profiles in an altitude of typically 10–80 km were derived from the
97 photon counts acquired by the RR lidar, as was performed by Kogure et al. (2017). The
98 effective vertical and temporal resolutions were 900 m and 1 h, respectively. A
99 temperature perturbation associated with the GWs with vertical wavelengths (λ_z) in the
100 range of 1.8–16 km and a period (τ) longer than 2 h (the Nyquist period) was derived
101 from the temperature profile. The approach used was introduced by Kogure et al. (2017)
102 and similar to a method of Duck et al. (2001). A background temperature profile which
103 was estimated using a cubic polynomial function for the temperature corresponding to a
104 24 km altitude range, was subtracted from the observed temperature profile in order to
105 derive the temperature perturbation of the GWs. The potential energy of the GWs per
106 unit mass, $E_p \text{ J kg}^{-1}$, was then calculated to measure the GW activity, as performed by
107 Whiteway and Carswell (1994). For further details regarding this process and errors of
108 the E_p values, see Kogure et al. (2017).

109 **3. Result**

110 Figures 1 (a), (b), and (c) show the nightly mean E_p at 40, 50, and 60 km
111 altitudes, respectively, where the E_p values were logarithmically averaged over an

112 altitude range of 5.4 km centered at the respective altitudes. This value increased by 2–3
113 times at each 10 km of altitude increase between 40 and 60 km altitudes and the winter
114 (June to August) mean values at each altitude were 2–3 times larger than those of the
115 fall (March to April) and spring (October) periods. These results are consistent with the
116 results from previous studies in the Antarctic region (Kaifler et al., 2015; Kogure et al.,
117 2017; Liu et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2017). Most of the E_p values for the winter period
118 were within $\overline{E_{p_{winter}}} \pm \sigma_{winter}$, i.e., 13.3–40.0 J kg⁻¹ at 40 km, 23.4–61.4 J kg⁻¹ at 50
119 km, and 65.2–186.9 J kg⁻¹ at 60 km, where $\overline{E_{p_{winter}}}$ is the logarithmic mean of the
120 nightly mean potential energy (E_p) in June–August for the five years and σ_{winter} is
121 the logarithmic standard deviation of E_p . However, the most E_p values for August 8–21
122 in 2014 at 50 and 60 km altitudes were larger than $\overline{E_{p_{winter}}} + \sigma_{winter}$.
123 In order to investigate this enhancement in more detail, the plots for August 2014 are
124 enlarged as shown in Figures 1 (d), (e), and (f). The E_p values at 40 km during that
125 month are comparable to the other years. However, the E_p values at 50 and 60 km for
126 August 8–21, 2014 (except for August 12) were larger than the winter mean by more
127 than one standard deviation, i.e., larger than 61.4 J kg⁻¹ at 50 km and 186.9 J kg⁻¹ at 60

128 km, and the mean values in the periods at 60 km (506 J kg^{-1}) were about five times as
129 large as the mean value for August 2015 (88 J kg^{-1}). Thus, in the next section, we
130 highlight and discuss the causes of this enhancement for the observation period August
131 8–21, 2014 in addition to the depression on August 12.

132 **4. Discussion**

133 4.1 Convergence of the GWs due to the poleward tilting of the PNJ with altitude

134 One possible cause of the aforementioned enhancement is the existence of an
135 additional GW source for August 8–21, 2014 between 40 and 50 km. The possible
136 source is the spontaneous adjustment near the PNJ region, because it is difficult for
137 other sources, e.g., shear instability, to excite large-scale GWs (Plougonven and Zhang,
138 2014).

139 Sato and Yoshiki (2008) and Murphy et al. (2014) suggested that large amplitude GWs
140 observed in the lower stratosphere could be emitted by spontaneous adjustment near the
141 imbalance of the PNJ. In order to investigate this possibility, a residual of the nonlinear
142 balance equation ($|\Delta NBE|$), which indicates the degree of imbalance, was calculated at
143 1 hpa (~ 43 km altitude) and 0.5 hPa (~ 47 km altitude) above Syowa. This is a similar

144 approach to Zhang (2004) from the modern-era retrospective analysis for research and
145 applications (MERRA) (Rienecker et al., 2011). $|\Delta NBE|$ values during the GW
146 enhancement were $4.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-2}$ and $6.0 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-2}$ on average at 1 hPa and 0.5 hPa,
147 which is smaller than the value for August 1–7, 2014 before the enhancement ($9.0 \times$
148 10^{-9} s^{-2} at 1 hPa and $1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-2}$ at 0.5 hPa on average) (not shown). Another
149 possibility is that the observed GW enhancement over Syowa was caused by the
150 convergence of GW packets propagating from lower and higher latitudes due to their
151 meridional propagation. Since the GWs observed by the RR lidar have a long wave
152 period, i.e., longer than 2 h, they can travel a long horizontal distance during their
153 vertical propagation. We evaluated this possibility by analyzing the ray paths of the
154 GWs based on the ray-tracing method of Dunkerton (1984) and comparing the results
155 for the enhancement period (August 8–21, 2014) and August 2015. The nightly mean
156 wind and temperature fields acquired from MERRA for each observation duration on
157 August 8–21, 2014 and August 2015 were used as the background for the ray-tracing
158 procedure. It was also assumed that the background fields were uniform in longitude.
159 The GWs were emitted upward from 10 km altitude between 20° S and 80° S at 5°

160 intervals. An initial horizontal wavelength and ground-based period were assumed to be
161 1000 km and 10 h, respectively, because such large-scale GWs are typically detected by
162 lidars (Gardner et al., 1998; Wilson et al., 1991). An initial k value, i.e., zonal
163 wavenumber, was assumed to be negative, i.e., westward. The GWs with westward
164 wavenumber in the lower latitudes than the PNJ are refracted to the higher latitudes due
165 to the meridional gradient of zonal wind. On the other hand, the GWs in the higher
166 latitudes are refracted to lower latitudes, i.e., such waves refracted toward the PNJ
167 (Dunkerton, 1984; Ehard et al., 2017; Sato et al., 2009). Moreover, the GWs with
168 eastward wavenumber generally encounter their critical level in the middle atmosphere.
169 The initial l value, i.e., meridional wavenumber, was also assumed to be negative, i.e.,
170 southward, because the GW activity in the lower stratosphere, i.e., near the sources, at
171 the lower latitudes ($<69^\circ$ S) is generally greater than the activity near the south pole
172 regions ($>69^\circ$ S) (Alexander et al., 2016; Allen and Vincent, 1995; Tsuda et al., 2000).
173 The initial direction of the horizontal wavenumber vector was, therefore, assumed to be
174 south–westward.

175 Figure 2 shows the altitude-latitude sections of the nightly mean zonal wind at the
176 longitude of Syowa (40°E) on (a) August 8–21, 2014 and the monthly mean wind in (b)
177 August 2015. Solid and dashed lines indicate the parts of the rays where the GWs have
178 a vertical wavelength within and outside of 1.8–16 km, respectively. In Figure 2 (a),
179 most of the GWs converged over Syowa at approximately 55 km altitude. However, this
180 is not the case in Figure 2 (b). This could be accounted for if the PNJ in Figure 2 (a) is
181 tilted poleward with altitude from ~50° S to ~70° S and the waves with westward
182 wavenumbers are refracted toward ~70° S. It should be noted that the PNJ region in
183 Figure 2 (b) is tilted equatorward with altitude from ~40° S to ~60° S. Under such a
184 condition, the waves are refracted toward ~40° S. We also checked the case in 2011,
185 2012 and 2013, but no convergence was found (not shown). This is probably because
186 the PNJ did not tilt poleward with altitude. There is possibility that the GWs with other
187 initial wave parameter contributed to the enhancement of E_p values, because some
188 studies (e.g., Nicolls et al. [2010] and Chen et al. [2013]) report that the GWs with
189 equatorward wavenumber propagated from the pole to mid-latitude. The paths of the
190 GW with other initial wavenumber, ground-based period, and azimuth angle were also

191 analyzed during the enhancement and August 2015 (not shown). The results during the
192 enhancement show the convergence of GWs with 1000–2500 km horizontal
193 wavelengths, 10–20 h ground-based periods and 205–230° azimuth angles (i.e.,
194 clockwise from North). The GW parameters were similarly varied for the case of
195 August 2015. However, the GWs did not converge. Thus, we conclude that the
196 enhanced GWs shown in Fig. 1 are due to the convergence of GW packets with south-
197 westward wavenumber.

198 4.2 Critical level filtering by a synoptic scale disturbance on August 12, 2014.

199 The E_p value on August 12, 2014 was much smaller than the value of the
200 other days during August 8–21 despite a similar tilted PNJ condition to the condition of
201 Figure 2 (a). It is notable that the behavior of the meridional wind at Syowa on August
202 12 was unusual. Figure 3 shows a time-altitude section of the meridional wind over
203 Syowa during the enhancement. The meridional wind at approximately 50 km altitude
204 was primarily confined to -40 and 0 m s^{-1} on the lidar observation days. However, on
205 August 12 the meridional wind changed drastically from $+56$ m s^{-1} to -70 m s^{-1} .
206 Horizontal maps of these winds at 0.5 hPa near this meridional wind change are shown

207 in Figure 4. A meridional wind disturbance with ~4000 km horizontal scale is clearly
208 seen near Syowa, which moved eastward. The passage of this disturbance drastically
209 changed the meridional wind from -80 m s^{-1} to $+80 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ in a region between 55 and
210 75° S , throughout which the GWs with a non-zero meridional wavenumber could easily
211 reach their critical level. Thus, it is concluded that the depression of the GW activity on
212 August 12 was likely due to the passage of a synoptic-scale disturbance in the upper
213 stratosphere over Syowa.

214 **5. Conclusion.**

215 The nightly mean E_p over Syowa Station (69° S , 40° E) was calculated from
216 temperature profiles observed by the RR lidar over a five-year period from 2011 to
217 2015, except for the summer periods. It was observed that E_p for August 8–21, 2014,
218 except on August 12, was significantly larger than the winter mean. The results of ray-
219 tracing analysis revealed the possibility of convergence of large-scale GWs with the
220 south-westward wavenumbers near ~55 km altitude over Syowa, which were emitted
221 from various latitudes. This suggests that the GWs were refracted toward Syowa by the
222 poleward tilting of the PNJ region with altitude. It was also observed that the E_p value

223 obtained on August 12 was the smallest recorded value during the enhancement. This
224 depression of the GW activity could be caused by a synoptic disturbance passing over
225 Syowa.

226 This study demonstrated that the GW activity in the Antarctic upper stratosphere and
227 lower mesosphere can be significantly enhanced by meridional propagation of the GWs,
228 i.e., refraction and suppressed by local wind fields due to a synoptic-scale disturbance,
229 i.e., critical level filtering. Although horizontal propagation has not been taken into
230 account for the GCMs, it has the potential to cause day-to-day variations of the GW
231 activity; in other words, intermittency of the GWs.

232 **Acknowledgements**

233 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI grants JP24340121 and JP15H02137.

234 The Syowa Rayleigh/Raman lidar was operated by the Japanese Antarctic Research
235 Expedition (JARE) under the prioritized project AJ1. The lidar data can be accessed at
236 <http://id.nii.ac.jp/1291/00014824/>.

237 **References**

238 Alexander, M. J., Eckermann, S., Ern, M., Geller, M., Kawatani, Y., McLandress, C., et
239 al. (2010). Recent developments in gravity wave effects in climate models and the
240 global distribution of gravity wave momentum flux from observations and models.
241 *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 136: 1103–1124, doi:10.1002/qj.637

242 Alexander, S.P., Sato, K., Watanabe, S., Kawatani, Y., & Murphy,
243 D.J. (2016). Southern Hemisphere extratropical gravity wave sources and
244 intermittency revealed by a middle-atmosphere general circulation model. *J. Atmos.*
245 *Sci.*, 73, 1335–1349, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0149.1.

246 Allen, S.J. & Vincent R. A. (1995). Gravity wave activity in the lower atmosphere:
247 Seasonal and latitudinal variations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100(D1), 1327–1350,
248 doi:10.1029/94JD02688.

249 Bühler, O. & McIntyre, M. (2003). Remote recoil: A new wave–mean interaction effect.
250 *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 492, 207–230. doi:10.1017/S0022112003005639

251 Butchart, N., Charlton-Perez, A.J., Cionni, I., Hardiman, S.C., Haynes, P.H., Krüger,
252 K., et al. (2011). Multimodel climate and variability of the stratosphere, *J. Geophys.*
253 *Res. Atmos.*, 116, D05102, doi:10.1029/2010JD014995.

254 Chen, C., X. Chu, A. J. McDonald, S. L. Vadas, Z. Yu, W. Fong and X. Lu
255 (2013), Inertia-gravity waves in Antarctica: A case study using simultaneous lidar
256 and radar measurements at McMurdo/Scott Base (77.8°S, 166.7°E), *J. Geophys. Res.*
257 *Atmos.*, 118, 2794–2808, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50318.

258 Duck, T.J., Whiteway, J.A., & Carswell A.I. (2001). The gravity wave-Arctic
259 stratospheric vortex interaction, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 58, 3581–3596, doi:10.1175/1520-
260 0469(2001)058<3581:TGWASV>2.0.CO;2.

261 Dunkerton, T.J. (1984), Inertia–gravity waves in the stratosphere. *J. Atmos.*
262 *Sci.*, 41, 3396–3404, doi:10.1175/1520 0469(1984)041<3396:IWITS>2.0.CO;2.

263 Ehard, B., Kaifler, B., Andreas, D., Preusse, P., Kaifler, N., Eckermann, S. D.,
264 Bramberger, M., et al. (2017). Horizontal propagation of large-amplitude mountain
265 waves into the polar night jet, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 122, 1423–1436,
266 doi:10.1002/2016JD025621.

267 Garcia, R. R., Smith, A. K., Kinnison, D. E., Murphy, D. J., & de la Cámara, Á. (2017).
268 Modification of the gravity wave parameterization in the whole atmosphere

269 community climate model: Motivation and results, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 74(1), 275–291,
270 doi:10.1175/JAS-D-16-0104.1.

271 Gardner, C. S., and M. J. Taylor (1998), Observational limits for lidar, radar, and
272 airglow imager measurements of gravity wave parameters, *J. Geophys.*
273 *Res.*, 103(D6), 6427–6437, doi:[10.1029/97JD03378](https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03378).

274 Geller, M.A., Alexander, M.J., Love, P.T., Bacmeister, J., Hertzog, A., Manzini, E, et
275 al. (2013). A comparison between gravity wave momentum fluxes in observations
276 and climate models, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 26, 6383–6405, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00545.1

277 Hertzog, A., Plougonven, R., & Alexander, M.J. (2012). On the intermittency of gravity
278 wave momentum flux in the stratosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 69, 3433–3448,
279 doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-09.1

280 Hitchman, M. H., Gille, J.C., Rodgers, C. D., & Brasseur, G. (1989). The separated
281 polar winter stratopause: A gravity wave driven climatological feature, *J. Atmos. Sci.*,
282 46, 410–422.

283 Holton, J. R. (1983). The influence of gravity wave breaking on the general circulation
284 of the middle atmosphere, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 40(10), 2497–2507, doi:10.1175/1520-
285 0469(1983)040<2497:TIOGWB>2.0.CO;2

286 Kaifler, B., Lübken, F.J., Höffner, R. J., Morris, J., & Viehl, T.P. (2015). Lidar
287 observations of gravity wave activity in the middle atmosphere over Davis (69°S,
288 78°E), Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 120, 4506–4521,
289 doi:10.1002/2014JD022879

290 Kalisch, S., Preusse, P., Ern, M., Eckermann, S. D., & Riese, M. (2014). Differences in
291 gravity wave drag between realistic oblique and assumed vertical propagation, *J.*
292 *Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 10,081–10,099, doi:10.1002/2014JD021779.

293 Kogure, M., Nakamura, T., Ejiri, M. K., Nishiyama, T., Tomikawa, Y., Tsutsumi, M., et
294 al. (2017). Rayleigh/Raman lidar observations of gravity wave activity from 15 to
295 70 km altitude over Syowa (69°S, 40°E), the Antarctic, *J. Geophys. Res.*
296 *Atmos.*, 122, 7869–7880, doi:10.1002/2016JD026360.

297 Lindzen, R. S. (1981), Turbulence and stress owing to gravity wave and tidal
298 breakdown, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86(C10), 9707–9714, doi:10.1029/JC086iC10p09707.

299 Liu, X., Yue, J., Xu, J., Wang, L., Yuan, W., Russell III, J.M., & Hervig, M.E. (2014).
300 Gravity wave variations in the polar stratosphere and mesosphere from SOFIE/AIM
301 temperature observations, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JD021439.

302 Matsuno, T., (1982), A quasi one-dimensional model of the middle atmosphere
303 circulation interacting with internal gravity waves, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, 60, 215–
304 226, doi:10.2151/jmsj1965.60.1_215.

305 Murphy, D.J., Alexander, S.P., Klekociuk, A.R., Love, P.T., & Vincent R.A. (2014).
306 Radiosonde observations of gravity waves in the lower stratosphere over Davis,
307 Antarctica, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119(21), 11,973–11,996,
308 doi:10.1002/2014JD022448.

309 Nicolls, M. J., R. H. Varney, S. L. Vadas, P. A. Stamus, C. J. Heinselman, R. B.
310 Cosgrove, and M. C. Kelley (2010), Influence of an inertia-gravity wave on
311 mesospheric dynamics: A case study with the Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter Radar, *J.*
312 *Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 115, D00N02, doi:[10.1029/2010JD014042](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014042).

313 Plougonven, R. & Zhang F. (2014). Internal gravity waves from atmospheric jets and
314 fronts, *Rev. Geophys.*, 52, 33–76, doi:10.1002/2012RG000419.

315 Rienecker, M. M., SSuarez, J. M., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., et al.
316 (2011). MERRA: NASA's modern-era retrospective analysis for research and
317 applications, *J. Clim.*, 24, 3624–3648

318 Sato, K. & Yoshiki, M. (2008). Gravity wave generation around the polar vortex in the
319 stratosphere revealed by 3-hourly radiosonde observations at Syowa Station, *J.*
320 *Atmos. Sci.*, 65(12), 3719–3735, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2539.1

321 Sato, K., Watanabe, S., Kawatani, Y., Tomikawa, Y., Miyazaki, K., & Takahashi,
322 M. (2009). On the origins of mesospheric gravity waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36,
323 L19801, doi:10.1029/2009GL039908.

324 Suzuki, H., Nakamura, T., Ejiri, M. K., Abo, M., Kawahara, T.D., Tomikawa, Y., &
325 Tsutsumi, M. (2012). A Rayleigh Raman lidar system for troposphere-mesosphere
326 observations at Syowa station, Antarctica, Reviewed and Revised Papers Presented at
327 the 26th International Laser Radar Conference (ILRC 2012), S9P-18.

328 Tsuda, T., Nishida, M., Rocken, C., & Ware, R.H. (2000). A global morphology of
329 gravity wave activity in the stratosphere revealed by the GPS occultation data
330 (GPS/MET), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D6), 7257–7273, doi:10.1029/1999JD901005.

331 Wilson, R., M. L. Chanin, and A. Hauchecorne (1991), Gravity waves in the middle
332 atmosphere observed by Rayleigh lidar: 1. Case studies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96(D3),
333 5153–5167, doi:10.1029/90JD02231.

334 Whiteway, J. A., & Carswell, A. I. (1994). Rayleigh lidar observations of thermal
335 structure and gravity wave activity in the high arctic during a stratospheric warming,
336 *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 51, 3122–3136.

337 Zhang, F. (2004), Generation of mesoscale gravity waves in the upper-tropospheric jet-
338 front systems, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 61, pp. 440-457, doi:10.1175/1520-
339 0469(2004)061<0440:GOMGWI>2.0.CO;2

340 Zhao, J., C., Chu, Chen, C., Lu, X., Fong, W., Yu, Z., et al. (2017). Lidar observations
341 of stratospheric gravity waves from 2011 to 2015 at McMurdo (77.84°S, 166.69°E),
342 Antarctica: 1. Vertical wavelengths, periods, and frequency and vertical wave number
343 spectra, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 122, 5041-5062, doi:10.1002/2016JD026368.

345 **Figure 1**

346 Day-to-day variations of the nightly mean E_p at (a) 40, (b) 50, and (c) 60 km. The
347 purple, green, yellow, red, and blue asterisks indicate the E_p values in 2011, 2012,
348 2013, 2014, and 2015, respectively. The dashed lines indicate $\overline{E_p}_{winter} \pm \sigma_{winter}$. The
349 variations for August are enlarged at (d) 40, (e) 50, and (f) 60 km.

350 **Figure 2**

351 Latitude-altitude sections of the nightly mean zonal wind acquired from MERRA in (a)
352 August 8–21, 2014 and (b) August 2015. Black and white lines indicate rays of the
353 GWs whose vertical wavelength can and cannot be observed by the RR lidar,
354 respectively. Arrows indicate the latitude of Syowa.

355 **Figure 3**

356 Time-altitude section of meridional wind at Syowa acquired from MERRA. The bars on
357 the top indicate the observation time ranges of the RR lidar and a red bar indicates the
358 results for August 12, 2014.

359 **Figure 4**

360 Meridional wind fields acquired from MERRA at 0.5 hPa at (a) 18 UT August 12 and
361 (b) 00 UT August 13, 2014. The red star represents the location of the Syowa Station.
